

In-field measurement and numerical modelling of air leakage in concrete: From laboratory specimen to structural full-scale

S. Multon, Donatien Rossat, Jerome Verdier, David Bouhjiti, Hognon Sogbossi, Julien Baroth, Ali Nehme, Frédéric Dufour, Hugo Gérard Jacques Cagnon, Matthieu Briffaut

To cite this version:

S. Multon, Donatien Rossat, Jerome Verdier, David Bouhjiti, Hognon Sogbossi, et al.. Infield measurement and numerical modelling of air leakage in concrete: From laboratory specimen to structural full-scale. Construction and Building Materials, 2023, 385, pp.131410. 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.131410 . irsn-04106727

HAL Id: irsn-04106727 <https://irsn.hal.science/irsn-04106727v1>

Submitted on 25 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Revised Manuscript

1 In-field measurement and numerical modelling of air leakage in $\frac{2}{3}$ 2 concrete: from laboratory specimen to structural full-scale 1 $3 \quad 2 \quad \text{concrete:}$ Tom radio 4

S. Multon^{1,*}, D. Rossat², J. Verdier¹, D. Bouhjiti³, H. Sogbossi¹, J. Baroth², A. Nehme¹, F. Dufour², H. Cagnon¹, M. Briffaut² 4 5 3 S. Multon^{1, *}, D. Rossat², J. Ve $6\quad 4$ D

 $\frac{7}{8}$ 5 ¹ Université de Toulouse; UPS, INSA; LMDC (Laboratoire Matériaux et Durabilité des Constructions); 135, $\frac{6}{9}$ 6 avenue de Rangueil; F-31 077 Toulouse Cedex 04, France 8 3 Chiversite de Toulouse; CPS, INSA

 $\frac{10}{11}$ 7 $\frac{2 \text{ Univ.} Graphel Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, 3SR, F-38000 Grenoble, France}{}$ 12 8 3 IRSN, PSN-EXP/SES/LMAPS, F-92262 Fontenay aux Roses, France 11 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots

9 Abstract 14

 $\frac{17}{12}$ 10 This work estimates air leakage through concrete porosity for structures by using data obtained $\frac{19}{20}$ 11 in field combined with stochastic finite element (SFE) modelling. For this purpose, a ²² 12 methodology is proposed to evaluate permeability under representative over-pressurization $\frac{24}{25}$ 13 conditions based on in-field measurements under vacuum. This makes it possible to investigate 27 14 the air leakage through the inner wall of a 1:3 scaled nuclear vessel named the VeRCoRs mock- $\frac{29}{20}$ 15 up. Measurements are made for over 80 points scattered on the external face of the inner wall 16 of the structure. Based on the data collected, a statistical analysis quantifies the spatial variation $\frac{34}{25}$ 17 of permeability and contributes to the building of an SFE model of air leakage at the structural 18 scale. Measured and predicted data are in good agreement on the service life of the structure. 36 ³⁹ 19 This shows the relevance of combining in-field measurements during an operational phase and $\frac{41}{42}$ 20 the SFE modelling for better evaluation of the structural performance. The lessons learnt from 21 the present work could be useful for the assessment of all structures with durability or 44 $\frac{46}{47}$ 22 mechanical issues that induce a continuous loss of tightness. 18 and 18 an 20 11 in held combined with stoch 21 23 **compared 23** 25 13 conditions based on in-fierd life 26 28 30 15 up. measurements are made to 31 32 16 of the structure. Based on the da 33 35³⁵ 37 It scale. Measured and predicted 38 40 42 20 are seen moderning for better ex-43 45 47 22 incending issues that model a

49 23 **Keywords**

 $^{51}_{52}$ 24 Air leakage, concrete structures, permeability measurement, stochastic analysis, VeRCoRs 25 mock-up.

⁵⁴ 25 mock-up.

⁵⁶ Torresponding author, *e-mail address: Stéphane Multon, multon@insa-toulouse.fr* 52 $53²$ 25 mock-up.

 57 and $\frac{1}{2}$ become $\frac{1}{2}$

1

26 1 **Introduction**
27 The durability of concrete is a major issue in the management of civil of
28 and induces the need for continuous monitoring of their behaviour to ens $\frac{2}{3}$ 27 The durability of concrete is a major issue in the management of civil engineering structures 28 and induces the need for continuous monitoring of their behaviour to ensure the safety of both 5 $\frac{7}{8}$ 29 the structure and the surrounding environment. The dimensions of large structures (which can 30 reach 1 metre in thickness and several dozens of metres in length in certain strategic structures), 10 $\frac{12}{12}$ 31 the presence of reinforcement and, possibly, of prestressing cables, make the in-depth 32 evaluation of concrete properties over time difficult; especially when only non-destructive 14 $\frac{17}{2}$ 33 techniques can be used. 3×7 The durability of concrete is a f 4 $6\overline{6}$ $8\frac{27}{100}$ and structure and the surrounding 9 11 13³ and presence of remnotements 15 32 evaluation of concrete propert 16

 $\frac{20}{21}$ 34 Much research is currently taking place on this topic as such techniques appear promising. In 35 the case of nuclear vessels, the concrete constituting the confinement enclosures must have low $^{25}_{26}$ 36 transport properties to guarantee the tightness of the walls and to prevent the release of $\frac{27}{28}$ 37 radioactive products into the environment throughout its lifetime. The permeability test 38 provides a reliable and fast quantification of gas transport for such applications, and regular 30 $\frac{32}{33}$ 39 measurements must be made throughout the service life of these structures. Local permeability 40 measurements are complementary to the usual pressurization tests where the main goal is to 35 $\frac{37}{28}$ 41 measure the global tightness to prevent any excessive leakage. However, the reliable 42 quantification of such local quantities remains a challenge because of the large external surface $\frac{42}{12}$ 43 area (need for numerous measurements), the thickness (difficulty of measuring permeability in 44 the wall core) and, predominantly, the natural variability of the properties of concrete. Hence, 44 $\frac{47}{1}$ 45 when such large structures are assessed, the use of mean values of properties is not sufficient; $^{49}_{50}$ 46 a stochastic approach is of great interest. 21 ²¹ Mach research is carrently taken 22 and the contract of the con 23 35 the case of nuclear vessels, the 24 26 **Community** of **Proprieties** is guidelled $28 \t37$ radioactive products into the 29 31 33 33 incasurements must be made the 34 36 38³⁸ 39 40 42 quantification of such local qua 41 43 45 44 the wall core) and, predominan 46 48 $_{50}$ 40 a stochastic approach is 01 grea

47 The present paper focuses on the evaluation of air leakage through concrete in structures 52 ⁵⁵ 48 representative of nuclear vessels by using testing techniques coupled with stochastic Finite $^{57}_{58}$ 49 Element (FE) modelling. From the experimental point of view, the Cembureau test is the 50 laboratory technique most commonly used on small specimens to measure their air permeability 60 53 4/ The present paper focuses on 54 56 58 49 Element (FE) modelling. From 59 61

51 under pressure [1]. It cannot be used for in-field measurement unless it is performed on cores $\frac{2}{3}$ 52 drilled from the structures, which is not possible in the case of operating nuclear vessels. $\frac{4}{5}$ 53 Different techniques (mostly vacuum techniques) currently exist for in-field measurements of $\frac{7}{54}$ air permeability $[2-8]$. 1 $3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ $5\,$ 33 DIFFICTULE DETERMIQUES (INOSITY VACULATED 19 $6\overline{6}$

 $\frac{10}{2}$ 55 The first part of this paper is dedicated to the research significance to underline the scientific $\frac{12}{13}$ 56 issues concerning the measurement of air permeability in field and the stochastic evaluation of ¹⁵ 57 the leakage rate of the VeRCoRs mock-up¹ [9,10]. In the second part, the concrete mix-designs $\frac{17}{18}$ 58 are described. In the third par, the method to evaluate the permeability under pressure based on 59 in-field measurements under vacuum is presented. The fourth part deals with an experimental 20 $\frac{22}{22}$ 60 investigation of the mock-up using the previous technique. Measurements are made at 80 points 61 scattered on the external side of the reinforced wall of a mock-up representative of containment $\frac{27}{20}$ 62 vessels. At the structural scale, the transport properties of the wall are assumed to be the same, 63 except for the natural dispersion of the building material. Based on the data collected, statistical 29 64 analyses are performed to quantify the spatial variation of permeability at the structural scale. 32 $\frac{34}{35}$ 65 The fifth and last part presents a stochastic FE model of the air leakage in VeRCoRs. The 66 numerical results in terms of global air leakage are compared with the results observed during 37 $\frac{39}{40}$ 67 the pressurization tests. 11 and 1 in the 1 in 13 50 issues concerning the measurer 14 16 18 20 are described. In the time part, t 19 21 23 by investigation of the moon up as 24 25 61 scattered on the external side of 26 28 and the contract of the continuous compty 30 63 except for the natural dispersion 31 33 35 36 38 $40\,$ σ θ in pressuitzation resis.

68 2 Research significance 43 44

69 In the field, the main obstacles to performing relevant measurements of concrete air-⁴⁸ 70 permeability are the difficulty of controlling the air flow in the structure (and thus the geometry $\frac{50}{51}$ 71 of the concrete volume investigated) and the type of flow regime. Due to the large size of most 72 concrete structures, e.g., the thickness of the inner wall of a nuclear containment building

55

56

58

1 The VeRCoRs mock-up is a 1:3 scaled nuclear containment building and a research programme aiming

59

1 The VeR 45 46 by In the field, the main obstact 47 49 **F** PERSONALLY MEDICAL MEETING STRING 51 / 1 of the concrete volume investig 52

to better understand the long-term behaviour of Nuclear Power Plants (60) to better understand the long-term behaviour of Nuclear Power Plants $\frac{59}{1}$ The VeRCoRs mock-up is a 1:3 scaled nuclear containment building and a research programme aiming 61 w better understand the long-term oct

73 (NCB), which is about 1 m, no in-field techniques can guarantee a truly steady state $\frac{2}{3}$ 74 measurement and a unidirectional flow as in laboratory conditions.

 $\frac{5}{6}$ 75 Technical solutions were proposed in the literature to evaluate the air permeability in field [2– $\frac{7}{8}$ 76 6,8,11]. To control the air flow, Torrent proposed a vacuum technique (*Figure 1*) based on the ¹⁰ 77 complementarity of two cylindrical cells ([2] – *Figure 2*). During a first stage, the two cells were $\frac{12}{13}$ 78 subjected to a vacuum for 60 seconds (*Figure 2*). During the second stage, the vacuum was no 79 longer imposed. Due to the pressure difference, air flowed from the concrete towards the central $\frac{17}{18}$ 80 cell. The pressure in the cell increased and Torrent has proposed an evaluation of the 81 permeability from the pressure increase measured in the central cell during less than 15 minutes. $\frac{22}{22}$ 82 At this stage, the pressure in the external cell was equal to the pressure in the central cell thanks 83 to the use of a pressure regulator [2]. As the pressure was the same in the two cells, the air flow $\frac{27}{20}$ 84 was controlled [2]. **COMMON SOLUTION** Were proposed /6 6,8,11]. To control the air flow, 78 subjected to a vacuum for 60 s ov can the pressure in the cen- 22 23 24 11 1115 1115 1115 1115 1115 24 and 24 and 24 and 25 and 26 and 25 83 to the use of a pressure regulate 28 and the contract of L

51
52 **Figure 1: Torrent device for permeability measurement (acquisition system** 53
54 *daboratory sample*) 52 riggie 1. Torrent device for perme *divordiory sample)*

 $57 \quad 00$

Figure 2: Pictorial representation of Torrent device for permeability measurement

 $\frac{38}{28}$ 88 has been made on laboratory samples for steady state and unsteady state in [7,12]. The mean ⁴⁰ 89 free paths of gas molecules are greater in vacuum than in overpressure. However, the use of Figure 2: Pictorial representation of Torrent device for permeability measurement

90 Klinkenberg theory [7,12,13] made it possible to evaluate the air permeability under pressure.

90 Klinkenberg theory [7,12,13] made it $\frac{45}{46}$ 91 from permeability evaluated in vacuum. Figure 2: Pictorial representation of Torrent device for permeability measurement

87 It was first necessary to compare measurements under pressure and in vacuum. This comparison

88 hesen made on laboratory samples for st Figure 2: Pictorial representation of Torrent device for permeability measurement

87 It was first necessary to compare measurements under pressure and in vacuum. This comparison

88 has been made on laboratory samples for 36 8/ It was first necessary to compar 39^o and \sim \sim \sim 41 89 free paths of gas molecules are 90 Klinkenberg theory [7,12,13] r **C** Service 1 $46\,$ 91 moin permeability evaluated in

Figure 2: Pictorial representation of Torrent device for permeability measurement

87 It was first necessary to compare measurements under pressure and in vacuum. This comparison

88 has been made on laboratory samples f 99 It was first necessary to compare measurements under pressure and in vacuum. This comparison
88 has been made on laboratory samples for steady state and unsteady state in [7,12]. The mean
99 free paths of gas molecules It was first necessary to compare measurements under pressure and in vacuum. This comparison
has been made on laboratory samples for steady state and unsteady state in [7,12]. The mean
free paths of gas molecules are great 49 92 I he objectives of the work pres 51 93 for small laboratory samples (50 mm thick) in [12], to in-field measurements made on the wall $\frac{1}{1}$ 04 64 N R R 1 1 94 of the verscores mode-up and 56 95 evaluation. VeRCoRs has been specifically designed to study the safety and ageing of 06 containment wells with nexti 20 comandment waits, with parti-

97 numerical studies have been performed to evaluate the mechanical behaviour, with a particular $\frac{2}{3}$ 98 focus on cracking, and the leakage rate at different times of the mock-up [14–17]. In these $\frac{4}{5}$ 99 studies, the numerical work was mainly focused on the leakage through cracks [15]. In [17], 100 special attention was given to the porosity leakage (air leakage through concrete porosity). But 7 $\frac{9}{10}$ 101 because of the lack of data, the permeability was chosen after literature review [17,18]. The 102 present study focused mainly on the porosity leakage, which is an important contributor to the 12 $\frac{14}{15}$ 103 total leakage of the structures. The aim was, first, to use the permeability data measured in situ 104 to refine the prediction of the porosity leakage with data obtained on the concrete used in field. $^{19}_{20}$ 105 Furthermore, the stochastic approach allows the description of the variability of the $\frac{21}{22}$ 106 permeability field of the structure in a probabilistic setting. In this context, the proposed model ²⁴ 107 can predict the leakage rate of the structure with the associated uncertainties, which has never $\frac{26}{27}$ 108 been done before. Several random variables, e.g. the hydric diffusivity for drying, the initial 109 water content in the concrete, the air permeability and its pressure dependence, impact the 29 $\frac{31}{22}$ 110 modelling of the physical problem. In this study, the spatial variability of the permeability is 111 evaluated from measurements in situ whereas the variability of the other input is considered as $\frac{36}{27}$ 112 uniform in the structure. Finally, the leakage predictions based on this probabilistic approach 113 are compared with in situ measurements of the leakage rate of the structure during 38 ⁴¹ 114 pressurization tests. 1 \mathcal{S} $5\frac{99}{5}$ studies, the numerical work was $6\overline{6}$ 8 i de la provincia de la contrada de la contrada
8 i de la contrada d 10^{-101} occause of the fack of data, the 11 13 15 105 \ldots 15 \ldots 15 16 (1992) (1993) (1994) (19 17 104 to refine the prediction of the p 18 20 and the continuum of the continuum of the continuum of \sim 22 TOO permeability field of the structure 23 25 and 25 $27\,$ TV8 been done before. Several rand 28 30 32 True moderning of the physical prob-33 34 III evaluated from measurements i 35 37 **The Common in the substance of main** 39 II3 are compared with in situ i 40

 44
 45 115 3 Material characterization 45 115 **5 Material characteriza**

 $^{47}_{48}$ 116 The laboratory experimental work was performed with three concrete mix-designs, referenced 50 117 as C1, C2 and C3, representative of a wide range of usual concrete based on Portland cement $\frac{52}{2}$ 118 (Table 1). Three water/cement (W/C) ratios between 0.4 and 0.55 (Table 1) were used to obtain 119 a range of accessible porosity between 14% and 18% (Table 2). Water porosity was measured $\frac{57}{2}$ 120 between total saturation of the sample with water after exposure to vacuum and drying at 105 48 TTO THE ROOT AND Y EXPERIMENTAL W 49 51 53 54 55 119 a range of accessible porosity b 56 58⁵

65

121 °C. The usual trend of porosity was obtained: increase in water porosity with increase in W/C $\frac{2}{3}$ 122 ratio. 1

 $\frac{5}{6}$ 123 The study focused mainly on the characterization of the concrete C2. It was representative of $\frac{7}{8}$ 124 the VeRCoRs mock-up and was the subject of a larger project [19]. Several batches of this $10\,125$ concrete were produced during the course of the project. The two other concrete mixes (C1 and $\frac{12}{13}$ 126 C3) were cast specifically for this study, and only one batch was produced. Permeability 127 measurements were evaluated on two batches of concrete C2, referenced as C2-B1 and C2-B2. 15 $\frac{17}{18}$ 128 To stabilize the cement hydration, specimens were cured in lime water at a temperature of 20 ± 20 129 2 °C for about 60 days after casting [20]. The properties of the four concrete batches of the $\frac{22}{22}$ 130 three mix-designs after the curing period are given in Table 2. After 60 days in limewater, the 131 hydration of CEM I cement is usually stabilized [20]. Therefore, the evolutions of the ²⁷ 132 mechanical and air permeability after 60 days should be therefore limited [21–24]. $6\frac{125}{1100}$ and 100 and 100 and 100 and 100 8 124 the VeRCoRs mock-up and wa 9 11 a contract to the contract of the contract $13 \, 120$ C₂) were cast specifically for 14 16 $18⁻¹²⁰$ TO stabilize the centent hydratic 19 21 23¹³⁰ three link designs after the earl 24 25 131 hydration of CEM 1 cement 26 28 and the continues of the contract of $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{30}{21}$ 133 Table 1. Concrete mixes 31 133 **Table 1.** Concrete mass

$\frac{46}{47}$ 135 Table 2. Concrete properties $47 \t155$ **Hable 2.** Concrete properties

62 63 64

 $\frac{53}{136}$ 136 $\frac{120}{136}$ *Uniaxial compressive strength after 28 days 54 Channel Compressive exemption

137 Experiments were performed on usual laboratory samples for Young's modulus and ² 138 compressive strength (110 mm diameter and 220 m height) and permeability measurement (150 $\frac{4}{5}$ 139 mm diameter and 50 mm thickness). 1 $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ a $5\,139$ min diameter and 30 min uncknown

 $\frac{7}{8}$ 140 The objective of the experimental work was to verify the ability of the proposed approach to 10 141 evaluate the permeability property under pressure from the measurement under vacuum. To $\frac{12}{13}$ 142 evaluate the method, it was important to test it on materials with various porosity values. Thus, ¹⁵ 143 the permeability was measured with the different methods on samples with saturation degrees, $\frac{17}{18}$ 144 between 3% and 70%. The accessible porosity ranged from 5 to 18%. The saturation ratio of 145 all the specimens was controlled by the following conditioning to limit thermo-hydric gradients 20 $\frac{22}{22}$ 146 and resulting skin cracking [25–27]: 8 140 The objective of the experiment 9 11 13 H2 evaluate the method, it was ling 14 16 18 144 octwood $3/0$ and $70/0$. The acc 19 21 $23¹¹⁰$ and resulting skin eracking $2²$

 $\frac{25}{26}$ 147 1. Saturation: Specimens were water saturated under vacuum, 26 147 1. Saturation. Specificity

28 148 2. Drying: Specimens were dried with an increasing drying temperature $(40 °C)$ to obtain a $\frac{30}{21}$ 149 saturation of 80%; 50 °C to obtain saturation levels of 60, 30 and 10%; 80 °C to obtain 33 150 a saturation of 3%; and 105 °C to obtain the driest state in this study, corresponding to $\frac{35}{26}$ 151 zero saturation). Targeted masses were evaluated from the porosity measured on other $\frac{37}{38}$ 152 samples cast with the same batch of concrete. 29 $31 \t{177}$ saturation of 00%, 50 32 34 36 38 **152** samples cast with the samples

⁴⁰ 153 3. Homogenization: Specimens were placed in sealed conditions (aluminium and sealed $\frac{42}{43}$ 154 bags) once the target mass had been reached and put back into the oven (for a minimal ⁴⁵ 155 duration equal to the drying time in order to slightly homogenize the water distribution $^{47}_{48}$ 156 throughout the sample). 41 43 I ²⁴ bags) once the target in 44 46 48^{150} anonghout the sample).

 $\frac{50}{51}$ 157 The saturation levels representative of the real structure are between 30 and 80%. In the 53 158 laboratory, these saturation levels were all obtained with a drying temperature lower than 50 \degree C $^{55}_{56}$ 159 to avoid the modification of the cement hydrates and the development of drying cracks. All the 160 calculations made for the mock-up in this paper were based on these representative values. 58 $^{60}_{61}$ 161 Drying at 80 °C and 150 °C was performed only to have common reference values for porosity 51 137 The saturation levels represent 52 54 $56 \,$ $\frac{137}{\sqrt{25}}$ to avoid the modification of the 57 59 61 ¹⁰¹ $Drymg$ at 55 C and 155 C mq

162 and permeability measurements. The smallest saturation ratio obtained after a 105 °C drying $\frac{2}{3}$ 163 process was taken to be 0% of saturation in this work. 1 $\frac{1}{3}$

5 164 **4 Experimental methodology** 6 164 • **4 • Experimental methodo**

 $\frac{8}{9}$ 165 The objective of this section is to propose an evaluation of intrinsic permeability (permeability ¹¹ 166 corresponding to viscous flow and assumed to be independent of fluid and test conditions) and $\frac{13}{14}$ 167 to quantify the dependence of air permeability to pressure from permeability measurement in 168 vacuum. The technique of in-field measurement of permeability was first evaluated in the $\frac{18}{10}$ 169 laboratory and compared to the standardized technique for permeability measurement. $9\,$ TO $\,$ The objective of this section is a 10 12 14 107 to quantity the dependence of 15 16 168 vacuum. The technique of in-17 19 10 model of the companies to the

170 4.1 Experimental techniques for in-field permeability measurement 21 22^{-170} 7.1 Experimental tech

$\frac{24}{25}$ 171 $\qquad 4.1.1$ Evaluation of the air permeability by means of an increase of pressure after vacuum 25 ^{1/1} $1.1.1$ $Druuuvv vj$ 27

173 In their experimental works of 1995, Yssorches et al. [28] used a permeability measurement 28 $\frac{30}{31}$ 174 based on a vacuum technique. During the measurement, a vacuum was imposed on one face of 175 a concrete sample for a certain time (0 or 2 hours), then the permeability was evaluated from $\frac{35}{26}$ 176 the pressure increase when the pumping was stopped [28]. This technique was used for samples 177 with small thickness and the direction of the air flow was controlled by an external seal. The 178 permeability evaluated in the first stage of the pressure increase was not representative of the $^{42}_{43}$ 179 real permeability [28]. The authors showed that, for small samples in the laboratory, the ⁴⁵ 180 increase became almost linear after a long period of time (at least 15 minutes). They recommend $^{47}_{48}$ 181 that permeability should be evaluated from the slope of the increase when the regime is 182 stabilized. For small samples, stabilization is obtained when the air flow crosses the thickness 50 $\frac{52}{52}$ 183 completely. As the pressure was not maintained constant, the flow was never perfectly constant: 184 the pressure profile in the thickness of the sample was never perfectly stabilized (but the $\frac{57}{2}$ 185 variation with time became small) and the regime was pseudo-steady [28]. 29 $31 \t17$ vascu on a vacuum teeningue. 32 33 175 a concrete sample for a certain 34 $36¹⁷⁰$ are pressure increase when the $\frac{1}{3}$ 37 38 IT with small thickness and the di 39 41 $43 \text{ L}/9$ real permeability [28]. The at 44 46 48 TOT and permeability should be e 49 51 $53¹⁰³$ compretely. The the pressure was 54 55 184 the pressure profile in the thi 56 58

186 At the beginning of the pressure increase, the regime seems to be disturbed by the modification $\frac{2}{3}$ 187 of the boundary conditions, particularly for a small duration of vacuum [28]. The sudden $\frac{4}{5}$ 188 stopping of the pumping and the moisture gradient in the concrete skin may be responsible for ⁷ 189 this effect. As the first centimetres of concrete are usually dryer than in depth, the depth $\frac{9}{10}$ 190 investigated increases fast at the beginning of the pressure increase (occurring in dry concrete) 191 and slows down when the air flow reaches deeper concrete with a higher saturation ratio. 12 $\frac{14}{15}$ 192 Therefore, the interpretation of 193 has been analysed based on the conclusions obtained in [28]. Once the pseudo-steady regime is $\frac{19}{20}$ 194 obtained in the central cell, the apparent permeability (in fine concrete porosity, air flow $\frac{21}{22}$ 195 depends on pressure because of the slip effect on pore wall due to molecular contribution – ²⁴ 196 apparent permeability is thus equal to the sum of viscous and slip flows [29]) and can be $\frac{26}{27}$ 197 deduced from the Hagen-Poiseuille equation and from the conservation of the air mass between 29 198 the concrete porosity and the volume of the cell [13,30]: 1 $\frac{3}{2}$ $5\,$ 188 stopping of the pumping and the $6\overline{6}$ 8 and 2010 10^{-120} investigated increases fast at the 11 13 15 152 mercione, the interpretation of 16 17 193 has been analysed based on the 18 20¹ 22 195 depends on pressure because of 23 25 \cdots \cdots \cdots 27 197 deduced from the riagen-roiser 28

$$
k_{a_{psr}} = \frac{2 \cdot \mu \cdot L}{A \cdot (P_{atm}^2 - P_c^2)} \cdot V_c \cdot P_c
$$
 Eq. 1

³⁶ 199 with $k_{a_{\text{norm}}}$ the apparent permeability obtained during the pseudo-steady regime, μ the air 200 viscosity, L and A the thickness and the cross-section of the sample, respectively, P_{atm} the $\frac{41}{42}$ 201 atmospheric pressure, V_c the volume of the cell, P_c the pressure in the cell and \dot{P}_c the initial slope 202 of the pressure increase in the cell. $\frac{37}{2}$ $\frac{951}{2}$ $\frac{11}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 38 39 200 viscosity, L and A the thickne 40 42 201 annoption pressure, r_c are vert 43 44 202 of the pressure increase in the c

203 In the work presented here, the saturation ratio, the accessible porosity and the apparent 47 $^{49}_{50}$ 204 permeability are considered constant and homogenous in the depth investigated, as is usual for 52 205 such measurements. 48 $50\,$ $20\,$ Permeability are considered con 51

206 Due to the large thickness of the walls of real structures, and particularly for vessels of nuclear 55 $^{57}_{50}$ 207 plants, the vacuum time needed is too long for permeability measurement to be performed in 208 such controlled conditions. The main difficulty is then to evaluate the depth of concrete 56 $58\,$ $207\,$ plants, the vacuum time needed 59 60 208 such controlled conditions. The

209 impacted by the air flow. In his approach, Torrent proposed an evaluation of this depth, L_0 , $\frac{1}{2}$ 210 from the mass balance of air moles crossing the concrete to reach the central cell during the test 211 [2]: 1 3⁻³ $\frac{4}{1011}$ 501 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
L_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot k_{a_t} \cdot P_{atm} \cdot (t_v + t)}{\varphi \cdot \mu}}
$$
 Eq. 2

212 with: k_{a_t} the unknown permeability of concrete crossed by the air flow, t_v the vacuum time, t ¹⁵/₁₆ 213 the time after the pumping was stopped, φ the porosity of concrete, and μ the air viscosity. $\frac{18}{19}$ 214 The combination of the two previous equations enables the permeability, k_{a} , to be evaluated 12 13 212 with: k_{a_t} the unknown permeal 14 $16\,213$ and three area the pumping was 17 $19\,214$ The combination of the two pro-20

 $^{21}_{22}$ 215 from the evolution of the pressure in the central cell for any time, t, by the following equation: 22 -10 members of the contract of the press. 23

$$
k_{a_t} = \frac{8 \cdot \mu}{\varphi} \cdot \left(\frac{V_c}{A}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{P_{atm}}{(P_{atm}^2 - P_c^2)^2} \cdot \dot{P}_c^2 \cdot (t_v + t) \qquad Eq. 3
$$

 $\frac{28}{29}$ 216 The air flow rapidly becomes almost constant across the concrete (pseudo-steady state) for 217 specimens with small thickness and large permeability. The permeability can thus be evaluated. 31 $\frac{33}{24}$ 218 For intermediate permeability, the air flow can cross the thickness (only the external surface is 219 at atmospheric pressure), but the duration of the pressure increase is too small for the pseudo- $\frac{38}{20}$ 220 steady state to be reached. For such short times, the permeability should be evaluated by Eq. 1. 221 but an overestimation of the permeability is probable [28]. For specimens with same thickness 40 43 222 and lower permeability, the air flow does not cross the thickness (part of the concrete inside the $\frac{45}{46}$ 223 sample is still at atmospheric pressure). Eq. 3 should be used to calculate the permeability. $29\,210$ The air flow rapidly becomes 30 32 $34\,210\,$ Tor intermediate permeability, 35 36 219 at atmospheric pressure), but the 37 $39²²⁶$ steady state to be reached. For $\frac{1}{3}$ 41 221 but an overestimation of the pe 42 44 $46\,223$ sample is sulf at almospheric p.

224 With Eq. 3, the evaluation of the permeability is based on the evaluation of the depth, L_0 , (Eq. $^{51}_{2}$ 225 2) of the concrete investigated. The evaluation of L_0 depends on the concrete accessible porosity 226 [2]. The accessible porosity for air molecules in the field depends on the concrete saturation 53 $\frac{56}{2}$ 227 ratio and the accuracy of the permeability measurement depends on the accuracy of the concrete $\frac{58}{59}$ 228 porosity estimation. Various non-destructive methods have been proposed to evaluate the $49\,224$ With Eq. 3, the evaluation of the 50 52 $\overline{)}$ $\overline{)}$ or the concrete investigated. $54\,226$ [2]. The accessible porosity to 55 57 $_{59}$ 228 porosity estimation. various i

229 concrete saturation ratio [31–34]. For the evaluation of L_0 , the linearity of pressure is assumed $\frac{2}{3}$ 230 through a certain depth of concrete [2]. The profile is not really linear along this depth and this $\frac{4}{5}$ 231 can lead to an incorrect estimation of the permeability. To improve the technique, it is important ⁷ 232 to evaluate the time for which the evaluation of L_0 is the most relevant, for small, average or $\frac{9}{10}$ 233 long durations of pressure increase. $3 - 3$ $5\,231$ can lead to an incorrect estimation $6\overline{6}$ 8 and 2010 $10\,233$ 1011g durations of pressure fire to

$\frac{12}{13}$ 234 $\frac{4.1.2}{4}$ Application $13\,234$ $4.1.2$ Application

 $\frac{14}{15}$ 235 This determination of the permeability measured under vacuum was applied to three samples 236 of the three mix-designs presented above (Tables 1-2) for different saturation ratios (Figure 3). $\frac{19}{20}$ 237 The pressure increase with time of the sample C2 (batch B2), obtained with Torrent's apparatus 22 238 $(2]$ – Figure 1), is shown in Figure 3 for three saturation ratios (65, 33 and 10%). 233 This determination of the perm 20^{237} The pressure mercuse with this

239 The apparatus is automated to stop the pressure increase after a variation of about 20 mbars, or $^{27}_{28}$ 240 after 660 seconds (Figure 3). The slope was evaluated for the last two minutes of the increase. 30 241 From the slope given by the pressure increase recorded by Torrent's apparatus, it is possible to $^{32}_{22}$ 242 evaluate the concrete permeability in vacuum conditions: using Eq. 1 if the sample is crossed by the air flow, or by using Eq. 3 if the air flow does not reach the other side of the sample. $^{37}_{20}$ 244 This is determined from Eq. 2. If L_0 is less than 50 mm, then k_{a_t} is the permeability under 245 vacuum. If L_0 is more than 50 mm, then $k_{a_{\text{max}}}$ is the permeability under vacuum. For the three $\frac{42}{43}$ 246 concrete mix-designs, most of the samples with saturation ratios lower than or equal to 30% 247 were crossed by the air flow. Most of the other samples were not crossed. 270 and 000 seconds (Figure *3)*. $33²$ is contained the concrete permease. 35 243 by the air flow, or by using Eq 40 245 vacuum. If L_0 is more than 50 i $43\,240$ concrete $\text{max-weights}, \text{most of}$

24 249 Figure 3: Increase of pressure in the central cell of the apparatus and determination of the slope for evaluation of $\frac{25}{25}$ 250 the permeability of a given sample at 3 different saturation ratios (10, 30 and 65%) 250 \ldots permeasing of a given sample at

251 The apparent permeability obtained for the three concrete mix-designs at the different saturation $\frac{30}{21}$ 252 ratios is shown in Figure 4. As expected, the permeability changes significantly for small 253 variations of saturation ratios above 60% [35]. 28 251 The apparent permeability obtain 202 and 105 is shown in Figure 1. 1 33 253 variations of saturation ratios a

 255 Figure 4: Apparent permeability obtained under vacuum according to the saturation ratio (logarithmic vertical $\frac{58}{59}$ 256 axis) 59 \angle 30 $axis$

4.2 Comparison with Cembureau technique

 $\frac{2}{3}$ 258 $\hspace{1cm}$ 4.2.1 Cembureau permeability as a reference for the permeability measurement $\frac{4}{5}$ 259 The objective of this work was to evaluate the intrinsic permeability, denoted k_i , and usually 260 evaluated under pressure, from the permeability under vacuum. For this purpose, the concrete $^{9}_{10}$ 261 permeability evaluated with the Cembureau technique was defined as the reference 262 permeability ([1] – Figure 5). Permeability measurement with the Cembureau technique is $\frac{14}{15}$ 263 based on the steady state measurement of a unidirectional air flow crossing the cross-section of a sample subjected to a constant pressure gradient lying usually between 1 and 4 bar. $3\,258$ $4.2.1$ Cembureau pe $5\,237$ The objective of this work was $6\overline{6}$ 8 and 2010 10^{201} permeasing evaluated with 12 262 permeability (1) – Figure 5). 17 264 a sample subjected to a constan

Figure 5: Cembureau device for permeability measurement in laboratory

265 For each sample, the apparent permeability under pressure was evaluated at 4 pressure levels $^{41}_{42}$ 266 by applying 2, 3, 4 and 5 (or 6) bars to one face of the sample while the other face was under 267 atmospheric pressure. The relationship between the apparent permeability and the reciprocal of $^{46}_{47}$ 268 the mean pressure of the test is linear. This relationship is commonly referred to as 269 Klinkenberg's law. Intrinsic permeability (ordinate to the origin of this line) and the slope were $^{51}_{2}$ 270 evaluated for every sample and every saturation ratio. The values for a sample of concrete C2- $_{54}^{53}$ 271 B1 at 4 saturation ratios are shown in Figure 6. 42^{200} by applying 2, 3, 4 and 3 (or 0) 44 267 atmospheric pressure. The relat 200 are mean pressure of the re-49 269 Klinkenberg's law. Intrinsic per $_{54}$ 2/1 B i at 4 saturation ratios are sno

24
25 273 Figure 6: Apparent permeability versus the inverse of the mean pressure for Cembureau tests performed on a $\frac{26}{27}$ 274 single sample at 4 different saturation ratios (20, 30, 45 and 60%) 25 213 Figure 6: Apparent permeability ver 27 \cdots single sample at \cdots any \cdots samme and \cdots

275 These tests were performed on all the samples of the experimental programme with a focus on $^{31}_{20}$ 276 usual in situ saturation ratios in concrete, i.e. between 20 and 80%. Above 70-80% of saturation, $\frac{33}{34}$ 277 the three concretes were air-tight (see Figure 7). At 60%, the concrete C1 showed the greatest ³⁶ 278 permeability. At 30%, the differences in permeability were small and close to the usual scatter $\frac{38}{39}$ 279 found on permeability measurements. However, the permeability trend for the three mixes was ⁴¹ 280 similar to the water porosity trend: permeability increased with increasing W/C ratio (Figure $^{43}_{44}$ 281 6). Under 20% saturation, concrete samples were exposed to 80 °C. This led to significant 282 thermo-hydric damage [36]. It increased permeability discrepancy (Figure 7) and the concrete 46 $^{48}_{40}$ 283 was no longer representative of usual exposure conditions of structures. It was only performed $\frac{50}{51}$ 284 for one concrete in this study (Figure 7). 29 275 These tests were performed on 30 32 34 2// the three concretes were air-tig 35 $37 \qquad \qquad 1 \qquad \qquad 3$ $39\,219$ found on permeability measure 40 42 $44\,201$ 0). Onder $20/0$ saturation, con 45 47 $49²⁰⁵$ was no longer representative of $51\,284$ for one concrete in this study (1)

 285 Figure 7: Reference intrinsic permeability (a) an $\frac{22}{22}$ 286 for the three concretes 21 285 Figure 7: Reference intrinsic permeal 23 200 for the three concretes

287 4.2.2 Determination of the intrinsic permeability from a single permeability measurement 25 26
27 288 To be able to evaluate the air leakage of a structure under pressure, it is necessary to determine 29 289 both the intrinsic permeability and the slope of Klinkenberg's law [29]: 27 288 To be able to evaluate the air le 28 30

$$
k_a = k_i \left(1 + \frac{b_k}{P_m} \right) \tag{Eq. 4}
$$

³⁶/₂₉₀ with k_a the apparent permeability, k_i the intrinsic permeability, P_m the mean pressure between $\frac{38}{39}$ 291 the atmospheric pressure and the pressure of the test, and b_k , the Klinkenberg gas slippage 41 292 factor. 37 39 291 the atmospheric pressure and 1 40 42

 $^{44}_{45}$ 293 Apparent permeability measured in vacuum for different pressures is close to the prolongation $\frac{46}{47}$ 294 of Klinkenberg's law for the domain of pressure lower than atmospheric pressure [12,13]. $^{49}_{2}$ 295 However, the slope of the variation of the apparent permeability with pressure for $\frac{51}{52}$ 296 measurements in vacuum does not correspond to the slope of Klinkenberg's law [12]. It is thus 297 not possible to evaluate the slope by measuring permeability with different input pressures in 54 $\frac{56}{57}$ 298 vacuum. Sogbossi et al. [12] proposed an evaluation of the intrinsic permeability from a single 299 permeability measurement (under pressure or in vacuum) based on theoretical and empirical 59 45 255 μ Ppurem permeasurely measure 47 294 of Klinkenberg's law for the 48 50 and $\overline{1}$ $52\,290$ measurements in vacuum does 53 55 57 270 vacuum. Sogoossi et al. [12] pr 58

300 concepts [13,29,37]. From Klinkenberg's theory [29], the following relationship has been $\frac{2}{3}$ 301 established:

$$
k_{a_2} = C_P k_{a_P}
$$

8 302 with k_{a} , the apparent permeability for an absolute pressure of 2 bars, and k_{a} , the apparent $\frac{10}{11}$ 303 pressure for any pressure P. C_p is a function of the pressure and of the pore network. Many 304 authors have shown the relation between the apparent permeability and the characteristic $\frac{15}{16}$ 305 dimensions of the pore network of porous media [13,38–45]. Permeability could thus be 306 evaluated from the pore size distribution obtained by Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry [43]. $\frac{20}{21}$ 307 Sogbossi's PhD thesis [13] proposed the evaluation of C_p , linking the apparent permeability at 308 different pressures, as a mean value calculated for the smallest pores of the network lying ²⁵ 309 between a minimal value R_0 and a maximal value R_1 [12,37]: $9 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ 505 pressure for any pressure r . r $16\,$ $303\,$ connections of the pore field ²⁰⁷ ω ₅ ω ₅ ω ₅ ω ₁ ω ₁ ω ₁ ω ₁ ω ₁ 23 308 different pressures, as a mean $26\frac{36}{3}$ corrected a minimum variety $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
C_P = \overline{C_P} = \frac{1}{R_1 - R_0} \int_{R_0}^{R_1} \left(\frac{r + 0.171}{r + 0.268/P_m} \right) dr
$$
 Eq. 6

 $\frac{32}{33}$ 310 with P_m the real mean pressure between the atmospheric pressure and the pressure of the test, $35\overline{3}$ 311 *P*, taking the compressibility of air into account [12]. In Sogbossi's w $\frac{37}{38}$ 312 were calibrated to obtain a correct evaluation of apparent permeability in vacuum from a 313 measurement under pressure in the steady state. $33\,310$ with P_m the real mean pressure σ 1 σ $38 \,$ 312 were calibrated to obtain a co

 43 314 The result of this integral is:

$$
\frac{46}{47}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{48}{48}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{48}{49}
$$
\n

 $\frac{51}{52}$ 315 Thus, the intrinsic permeability 316 one permeability measurement under pressure or in vacuum. 51 σ 1μ s, the intrinsic permeasing 53 <u>Financial Studies (Financial Studies (Financial</u> 54 316 one permeability measurement

317 In the present work, this approach is first validated for the three concretes of the study under $\frac{59}{60}$ 318 pressure. Combining Eq. 4 and Eq. 5, the slope can be estimated with the Cembureau technique 510 pressure. Combining Eq. 4 and

319 from the apparent permeability measured for an absolute pressure of 2 bars:

$$
b_k k_i = k_{a_2} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\overline{C_5}}}{\frac{1}{P_{m2}} - \frac{1}{P_{m5}}} \qquad \qquad Eq. 8
$$

⁹ 320 where P_{m2} is the real mean pressure between the atmospheric pressure and 2 bars, and P_{m5} the $\frac{11}{12}$ 321 mean pressure between the atmospheric pressure and 5 bars. The intrinsic permeability can then 14 322 be calculated as: $\frac{m}{2}$ 1 $12\,$ 221 mean pressure between the atm

$$
k_i = k_{a_2} - \frac{b_k k_i}{P_{m2}}
$$
 Eq. 9

323 The two equations are valid for absolute pressures lower than 0.5 bar and higher than 2 bars. In $\frac{23}{24}$ 324 Figure 8, the results obtained with these equations are compared to the reference slope and to 325 the intrinsic permeability experimentally determined in the previous part. In the present work, $^{28}_{20}$ 326 the maximal pore radius, R_1 was calibrated to obtain good correspondence for the three 31 327 concretes and for saturation ratios between 20 and 70%, with a maximal pore radius, R_1 of $^{33}_{34}$ 328 0.2 µm (the minimal value, R_0 , was taken to be equal to 0.01 µm as proposed 329 work [12]). For such radii, the quantity C_5 is equal to 1.58, which is consistent with the results $\frac{38}{39}$ 330 obtained during the development of the methodology [13]. The order of magnitude of this 331 maximal pore radius is consistent with radius size considered in previous numerical studies on $_{44}^{43}$ 332 air permeability in concrete [43–45]. 22π rigard of the results obtained v 25 and 26 an $29 \,$ $20 \,$ in maximal poly radius, n_1 , $34\,$ $320\,$ 0.2 µm (the minimal value, n_0 $36, 329$ work [12]). For such radii, the **330** obtained during the developm 332 an permeasurity in concrete \uparrow .

$^{26}_{27}$	336	4.2.3 Comparison between the intrinsic permeability evaluated in vacuum and the intrinsic permeability evaluated under pressure
$^{28}_{29}$	337	intrinsic permeability evaluated under pressure
$^{30}_{30}$	338	As explained above, vacuum techniques are very interesting to evaluate the permeability of real

 $\frac{32}{33}$ 339 structures. As the intrinsic permeability and the slope of Klinkenberg's law cannot be evaluated 340 directly from different apparent permeability values obtained in vacuum [12], the methodology presented just above was used. In this case, only an apparent permeability in vacuum, is *Figure 8: Comparison between the intrinsic permeability (a) and the slope of Klinkenberg's law (b)* condured by *Combureau technique and the values deduced from the apparent permeability at 2 bars and C5 equal to* Eq. 9: and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\$ $33 \overline{3}$ su actures. As the mumsic perm $37, 241$ are control just obeys we used 38 J ^{J} presented just above was used. 40 342 known. The slope and the intrinsic permeability were obtained by combining Eq. 5, Eq. 8 and 42 242 Eq. 0. 43

$$
b_k k_i = C_v k_{a_v} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\overline{C_5}}}{\frac{1}{P_{m2}} - \frac{1}{P_{m5}}}
$$
 Eq. 10

344 and

 $k_i = C_v k_{a_v} - \frac{b_k k_i}{P_{m2}}$ Eq. 11

65

345 C_v was determined from Eq. 7 with the same maximal radius of 0.2 µm evaluated in the previous $\frac{2}{3}$ 346 part and from the pressure measured by the central cell of the vacuum apparatus. In this $\frac{4}{5}$ 347 situation, C_v was about 0.545, in agreement with the previous work [13]. 1 $3 \cdot 3$ $5\,$ 54/ Situation, C_v was about 0.545, in

348 In Figure 6, the intrinsic permeability and the slope evaluated from the apparent permeability $\frac{10}{11}$ 349 measured under vacuum (presented in Figure 4) are compared to the reference values for the $\frac{12}{13}$ 350 intrinsic permeability and the slope determined with the Cembureau technique. This method $15\,351$ led to an overestimation of both the intrinsic permeability and the slope, by about 60%. This $\frac{17}{18}$ 352 result can be partly explained by the presence of parasitic fluxes on the thin samples tested, due 353 to the geometry of the system. It is important to note that this overestimation was quite similar 20 $\frac{22}{23}$ 354 for the three Portland concretes used in this experimental study and could thus be extrapolated 25 355 to the in-field concrete investigated in the following part. 8 348 In Figure 6, the intrinsic permea 9 11 \sim 1.0 measured and \sim raceally (press. $13\,350$ intrinsic permeability and the s 14 16 $18\,$ $332\,$ result can be partly explained b 19 21 $23 \overline{J}$ 101 the time T britain concretes 24

 $\frac{51}{2}$ 356 Figure 9: Comparison between the intrinsic permeability (a) and the slope of Klinkenberg's law (b), obtained by 53 357 the Cembureau technique, and the values deduced from the vacuum permeability and Cp, for Cp equal to 0.545 $52 - 20$

 $\frac{55}{56}$ 358 The experiments presented here showed that it is possible to estimate the intrinsic permeability $\frac{57}{2}$ 359 and the slope of the Klinkenberg's law which can be used to calculate air transport under 56 500 The experiments presence here 58

360 pressure from a technique of permeability measurement under vacuum, with an overestimation $\frac{1}{2}$ 361 of about 60%. $2 \,$ 301 01 about 00%.

$\frac{7}{2}$ 363 $\frac{5}{2}$ In-field permeability measurement on the inner wall of an NCB mock-up 8 and 1
8 and 1 and 1

364 This section presents the location, the values and the analysis of the permeability in-field $\frac{11}{12}$ 365 measurements conducted on the VeRCoRS mock-up (Figure 7). As shown in the literature, $\frac{13}{14}$ 366 there was a lack of permeability data to precisely analyse the porosity leakage of the mock-up $\frac{15}{16}$ 367 [17] and more generally of NCB. The aim of these measurements was to build up an ¹⁷ 368 experimental database to quantify the mean and the scatter of the air permeability of the 369 concrete constituting the mock-up. 10 364 This section presents the loca 12 505 measurements conducted on the $14\,$ J00 $\,$ lifere was a fack of permeability 16^{307} $\left[17\right]$ and there generally of 1

$\frac{21}{22}$ 370 5.1 VeRCoRS mock-up description and locations of measurements $22 \frac{3}{10}$ 3.1 vencurs mock-

43 372 Figure 10: Experimental 1:3 scaled double-walled nuclear containment building (NCB) – VeRCoRS mock-up: $\frac{44}{45}$ 373 overview – photo by eDF (a) and 2D-AXIS view of the VeRCoRS mock-up's inner wall [46] (b) 313 overview – photo by eDT (a) and $2D$

 $^{48}_{3}$ 375 A network of 80 points (Figure 11) was chosen on this 40 cm thick wall, along two horizontal $\frac{50}{51}$ 376 lines (30 points named HL along one fifth of the circumference of the mock-up in the lower ⁵³ 377 part at level 1.63 m, and 22 points named H2 along the whole circumference in the upper part $\frac{55}{56}$ 378 at level 12.2 m) and along three vertical lines (5 points named V3 along the lower 2 meters for 379 the angle of 80 grad, 13 points named V2 along the whole height at 190 grad and 11 points 51 370 \blacksquare lines (50 points named HL alo 56^{370} at lever 12.2 m) and along three

380 named V3 along the whole height at 330 grad). The location of the points for the permeability $\frac{2}{3}$ 381 measurements was chosen to be representative of the concrete wall (usually far from any $\frac{4}{5}$ 382 singularity, e.g., prestressing anchorages, gusset) and distributed on the structure (Figure 11), 383 especially for H2, V1 and V2, to evaluate the variability of concrete on the whole structure. The $\frac{9}{10}$ 384 distances between successive points were shortened for the lines HL (in the same batch) and 385 V3 (different batches) to assess the dispersion of measurements in a restricted area of the $\frac{14}{15}$ 386 structure. $5\,$ 302 Singularity, e.g., prestressing and $6\overline{6}$ 10^{30} distances between successive μ $15 \,$ $\frac{15}{200}$ $\frac{1}{2000}$ $\frac{1}{2000}$

37
38 388 Figure 11: Locations of the 80 points for local measurements of permeability. 38 388 *Figure 11*: Locations of the 80 points

$\frac{40}{41}$ 389 5.2 Measurements along horizontal and vertical lines 50 64 11

42 390 The distributions of both the int $^{44}_{45}$ 391 from the 80 measurements performed on the mock-up are presented in Figure 12. This 392 evaluation was performed from an apparent permeability obtained in vacuum and from Eq. 10 $\frac{49}{50}$ 393 and Eq. 11. Means and standard deviations are given in Table 3. Since the two parameters were 394 evaluated from the same measurements, their distributions were similar (Figure 12). It is $\frac{54}{2}$ 395 important to note that a measurement is very localized, with a surface of 40 mm in diameter 396 (Torrent apparatus). Even with 80 points, the surface area investigated was very small compared 397 to the total surface area of the mock-up. Moreover, the measurement was superficial: the air 45 321 \text 47 392 evaluation was performed from 50 and Eq. 11. Means and standard 52 394 evaluated from the same mea $57\,396$ (Torrent apparatus). Even with

398 flow reached an average depth of 70 mm (Eq. 2) for a wall of 400 mm of total depth, which $\frac{2}{3}$ 399 finally represents only 17.5% of the thickness investigated. In the field, the air permeability is $\frac{4}{5}$ 400 not homogeneous in the thickness investigated by the technique due to the moisture gradient 401 usual in the skin of concrete structures. Moreover, the skin of a concrete structure presents a $\frac{9}{10}$ 402 greater proportion of mortar than the core concrete does. However, the proportion of the skin 12 403 that is more permeable than the core does not impact the pressure increase during in-field $\frac{14}{15}$ 404 measurements as the pressure increase is mainly driven by the less permeable zone of the 405 investigated depth. $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $5\,$ 400 mot nomogeneous in the thickness $6\overline{6}$ 8 and 2010 P greater proportion or mortal the **101 Incustrations** as the pressure 17 405 investigated depth.

49 409 and 49 409 and 49 409 and 409 a

-
-

 $\frac{11}{12}$ 413 The mean intrinsic permeability in field is about 0.68 x 10⁻¹⁷ m² with a coefficient of variation ¹⁴ 414 greater than 75%. The mode is lower than the mathematical mean, the distribution is not $\frac{16}{17}$ 415 symmetric and seems to be lognormal. The distribution was significantly influenced by the 416 presence of five points with very large permeability (above 1.4 x 10^{-17} m²), which may $\frac{21}{22}$ 417 correspond to points with important defects. These five measurements represent isolated values 23
24 418 Iying between 4.3 and 9.6 x 10⁻¹⁷ m², which are grouped in the last interval for the sake of ²⁶ 419 simplification. Without these five points, the mean value was only about 0.58 x 10⁻¹⁷ m² with a $^{28}_{29}$ 420 coefficient of variation of about 50%. Such permeability can be obtained for saturation ratios ³¹ 421 between 35 and 50% (Figure 7). This value is in agreement with the water content measured in $\frac{33}{34}$ 422 field [34]. 415 The mean intrinsic permeability 413 symmetric and seems to be to 19 416 presence of five points with $\overline{117}$ correspond to points with hipport 24 418 Iying between 4.3 and 9.6 x 1 25 and 26 an 29 420 coefficient of variation of about 422 11 **c**IQ $[34]$.

423 The variations of permeability along both the horizontal and the vertical directions are presented $39\overline{)}$ 424 in Figure 10 and **Table 4**. The horizontal line HL shows considerable scatter in permeability, $_{42}^{41}$ 425 particularly close to the angle of 80 grad (Figure 10) with 3 outliers. This area with high ⁴⁴ 426 permeability corresponds to the 2 high values of permeability encountered for V3 (angle of 80 $^{46}_{47}$ 427 grad and height of about 1.6 m). The measurements along the other horizontal line (H2) present 428 a scatter representative of the concrete heterogeneity. The permeability does not seem to be $^{51}_{52}$ 429 significantly dependent on the level of concrete (Figure 10-b). Except for 5 outliers, the $\frac{53}{54}$ 430 permeability is quite homogeneous in the whole structure (Table 4) despite the use of several $\frac{56}{2}$ 431 batches to cast the full height. 37 423 I he variations of permeability a 42 particularly close to the angle 52¹²⁷ significantly dependent on the 54 430 permeability is quite homogene **b**

Figure 13: Intrinsic permeability along two horizontal lines (a) and three vertical lines (b) of VeRCoRs mock-up 22 432 Figure 13: Intrinsic permeability alor

 $\frac{24}{25}$ 433 Table 4. Intrinsic permeability by measurement lines (in brackets, excluding five points with $^{26}_{22}$ 434 very high permeability) 25 $\overline{}$ $\$

Lines	т тт LLL	H ₂		E 70	T T A
Number	30(27)	00 --			\sim ັ
Mean	(0.68) 0.84	0.40	0.64	0.64	(0.50) 0.09
Standard deviation	0.60(0.23)	$0.26\,$	∩ าา ບ.ບມ	\sim U.J.	(0.08) 0.88

 $\frac{35}{36}$ 436 With the measurements performed in field, it was possible to evaluate the distribution of the 437 intrinsic permeability along the horizontal and the vertical directions of the mock-up. It is an $^{40}_{41}$ 438 essential input data of the modelling presented in the following part to evaluate the porosity 439 leakage of the mock-up, and for all future numerical modelling of the structures which can be $^{45}_{46}$ 440 based on the previous works presented in [14–16]. 450 W full the ineasurements performed by 36 750 essential input data of the floc 43 439 leakage of the mock-up, and fo 46 cases on the previous works pr

6. Air leakage calculations using Stochastic Finite Element Methods 48 441 **6. Air leakage calculation**

 $\frac{50}{51}$ 442 The objective of this section is to evaluate the spatial variability of the intrinsic permeability k_{i0} 443 of the concrete of the VeRCoRs mock-up, and its effect on the leakage rate of the structure. The $\frac{55}{56}$ 444 spatial variability is necessary to propose a stochastic evaluation of the air leakage of the mock-445 up and finally to be able to estimate a potential leakage whatever the location of the considered $^{60}_{61}$ 446 structure. In this perspective, random fields are used for modelling the permeability, and their 442 The objective of this section is ^{-77} spatial variability is necessary t

447 underlying correlation structure is identified from the non-destructive permeability $\frac{2}{3}$ 448 measurements presented in Section 5. $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{5}{6}$ 449 The permeability measured in field on the concrete skin was only representative of the $\frac{7}{8}$ 450 permeability in the first few centimetres of concrete, and thus, at a certain saturation degree $10\,451$ (about 40%). To obtain the global evaluation of the leakage, the saturation evolution with depth $\frac{12}{13}$ 452 had first to be evaluated by modelling. In the calculations presented below, the permeability ¹⁵ 453 along the first few centimetres was taken equal to the in-field measurement. The evolution of $\frac{17}{18}$ 454 the permeability with depth was then calculated by the modelling from the laboratory results 455 for the evolution of permeability with saturation as explained in part 6.2 The stochastic FE $\frac{22}{22}$ 456 leakage model adopted in this study is subsequently presented, before probabilistic predictions 457 of the leakage rate of the VeRCoRs mock-up are performed. $6 \t m$ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 8 450 permeability in the first few cen 11 and 11 and 11 and 11 and 12 an 432 may first to be evaluated by mo 434 and permeability with depth we 23 120 Runage moder adopted in this c 25 457 of the leakage rate of the VeRC

458 6.1 Construction of permeability random fields

 $\frac{30}{31}$ 459 Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the spatial domain studied. The concrete 33 460 intrinsic permeability $ki₀$ is represented by a random field that corresponds to a family $\frac{35}{36}$ 461 $K_{i0}(x)_{x \in D}$ of real-valued random variables defined on the underlying probability space 462 $(1, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, which is indexed by points of the domain D. Then, the intrinsic permeability field $^{40}_{41}$ 463 may be seen as a function $K_{i0}(x,\omega)_{x\in D}$ of two variables, namely a spatial coordinate $x \in D$ 464 and a generic outcome $\omega \in \Omega$ of the underlying probability space. The permeability field K_{i0} is $^{45}_{46}$ 465 assumed to be a lognormal random field [46,47] and, for the sake of simplicity, the field $log K_{i0}$ 48 466 is assumed to be stationary, i.e. its mean function μ_{loqK} is assumed to be constant, and its $\frac{50}{51}$ 467 covariance kernel depends only on relative spatial coordinates, which can be written: 439 Let $(22, 9, 4)$ be a probability: ⁴⁰¹ $N_{i0}(x, y_{x \in D}$ of real-valued rail 38 462 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, which is indexed by 405 and the second as a nunction Λ_{i0} 43 464 and a generic outcome $\omega \in \Omega$ o 400 assumed to be a regionmal rand 40% covariance kernei depends only

54 468
$$
C_{logK_i}(x, x') = C_{logK_{i0}}(x - x') = \sigma_{logK_{i0}}^2 R_{logK_{i0}}(x - x')
$$
 Eq. 12

 $^{11}_{12}$ 473 permeability measurements. Only the HL measurement set (see Section 5.2) is used with $\frac{13}{14}$ 474 sufficiently fine spacing (approx. 0.3 m between two points). Assuming that the volume ¹⁶ 475 concerned by measurements has a water saturation ratio of 50%, a mean of about $\mu_{K_0} = 7.10^{-17}$ 476 m² and a CoV of 75% are estimated from measurements presented in Section 5.2. The 18 ²¹ 477 associated experimental unidimensional (semi) variogram [48] is given by: 9.472 The correlation structure of the 10 12 \ldots remaining measurements ϵ $14\,474$ sufficiently fine spacing (appi 15 17 $19\,4\,$ /6 m² and a CoV of 75% are e 20 22²

$$
{}_{25}^{24} 478 \t \gamma_{HL}(h) = \sigma_{logK_{i0}}^2 - C_{logK_{i0}}(hv_{HL}) \t Eq. 13
$$

479 where $h > 0$ and v_{HL} is the direction of the HL line. This experimental variogram is $\frac{30}{21}$ 480 subsequently fitted by several classical variogram models (linear, exponential and Gaussian 481 models [48] in Figure 14). The results obtained suggest that the Gaussian model provides the 32 ³⁵ 482 best fit, with an autocorrelation length ℓ_{ac} in the direction of the HL line. For the sake of 37
38 483 simplicity, the log-permeability random field $\log k_0(x, \omega)$ is assumed to be isotropic, since $40\,$ 484 measurements in the vertical and radial directions are not available for constructing variograms 485 in the three main directions. Eventually, the following correlation kernel $R_{log K,0}(x-x')$ is $^{45}_{46}$ 486 considered for the log-permeability field: 28 479 where $h > 0$ and v_{HL} is the 29 31 $33\,481$ models $[48]$ in Figure 14). The 34 $36 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ 38 483 simplicity, the log-permeabilit 39 41 42 43 485 in the three main directions. E 44 46 Too considered for the tog permeable

$$
R_{log K_{io}}(x - x') = R_{HL} \left(\left| |x - x'| \right| \right) = exp \left(\frac{-1}{2} \frac{\left| |x - x'| \right|^2}{l_{ac}^2} \right)
$$
 Eq. 14

 $^{53}_{54}$ 488 where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^3 . 54 400 where \parallel \parallel is the Euclidean flor

17
18 490 Figure 14: Experimental variogram fitting using HL measurement data. 18 490 Figure 14: Experimental variogram f

 $^{20}_{21}$ 491 Then, the (continuous) random field $K_{i0}(x, w)$ is approximated by a finite set of random 492 variables (ζ_i) , In this study, we focus on three main Representative Structural Volumes 493 (RSV) [46] of the VeRCoRs mock-up; namely its standard zone (or wall), its gusset, and its $^{28}_{28}$ 494 dome, all depicted in Figure 15. Three finite element meshes corresponding to these RSV are $\frac{30}{31}$ 495 considered (see Figure 15-a-c) with a characteristic mesh size of about $l_{FE} = 0.15$ m, following ³³ 496 recommendations of [49] concerning the discretization of random fields on an FE mesh ($l_{FE} \le$ $\frac{35}{36}$ 497 $l_{ac}/3$). Moreover, each mesh corresponds to an angular sector of 15° , in order to provide 498 dimensions larger than the fluctuation length (given by $\ell_{\rm flu} = \sqrt{\pi} \ell_{\rm ac} = 0.89$ m in the case of a $^{40}_{41}$ 499 Gaussian covariance kernel [50]) in the tangential direction. For the wall, a height of 1.5 m was ⁴³ 500 chosen for the same reason, whereas full vertical dimensions were chosen for the dome and the $\frac{45}{46}$ 501 gusset. Information concerning the geometry and the mesh of each RSV is summarized in Table \ldots \ldots , \ldots (commuted) random 23 492 variables (ζ_i) , In this stud \cdots 25 and the contract of the con 26 493 (RSV) [46] of the VeRCoRs n 495 considered (see Figure 15-a-c) 497 $a_{ac}/3$). Moreover, each mesn c 38 498 dimensions larger than the fluct 499 Gaussian covariance kemer [50 $46\,$ JVI gusset. Information concerning

 $\frac{21}{22}$ 504 Figure 15: 2D view of the VeRCoRs mock-up (left) and some realizations of the intrinsic ²³ 505 permeability random field on meshes of three Representative Structural Volumes (RSV) of the $25\,506$ mock-up (right). 22×1 Ligard 15. 2D view of the v 24 and 2

³⁰ 508 Table 5. Geometry and mesh of RSVs of VeRCoRs mock-up

32					
33	RSV	Height [m]	Angular sector $[°]$	Nodes	Elements (HEXA8)
34 35	Wall	ن		4352	3600
36 37	Gusset	0.68		5775	4800
38 20.500	Dome	2.68		7097	5910

510 Then, for each RSV, the log-permeability field was discretized on the corresponding FE mesh $^{43}_{44}$ 511 by employing the Expansion Optimal Linear Estimation (EOLE) introduced in [51]. The latter 512 is based on a truncated version of the Karhunen-Loève (KL) expansion [49] of the log- $^{48}_{18}$ 513 permeability field so that the supremum norm of the error variance is below 5%. Examples of $\frac{50}{51}$ 514 random realizations of the permeability field discretized on VeRCoRs RSVs are presented in 53 515 Figure 15-a, b, and c. 511 6 7 emproying the Expansion \circ 46 512 is based on a truncated versi- 49 PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ALL PROPERTY. $\overline{\smash{)}14}$ random realizations of the perf

65

517 6.2 Finite element leakage model

 $\frac{2}{3}$ 518 The pressurized dry air transport through a porous network is usually split into two main modes $5\,519$ [15,17]: 3² ³ $\frac{4}{100}$ = $\frac{1}{200}$ = $\frac{1}{200}$ = $\frac{1}{200}$

520 - diffuse porosity leakage (Darcy's mode), which refer to the leakage through concrete $\frac{10}{21}$ 521 porosity or through micro cracks 7 8 520 - diffuse porosity leakage 9 11

 $\frac{12}{13}$ 522 \blacksquare - localised leaks, mainly driven by the leakage through concrete macro cracks, associated $15 \overline{523}$ with Poiseuille's flow n 13 522 - Iocalised leaks, mainly of 14 16

 $\frac{18}{10}$ 524 This paper focuses on the Darcy's transport mode and describes a chained calculation involving $\frac{20}{21}$ 525 the computation of the thermal, hydric and hydraulic responses. Only gas transport through 23 526 sound porous concrete is considered, and the gaseous phase is assumed not to contain any ²⁵ 527 vapour. The dry air leakage is modelled by the following macroscopic diffusive equation: $19²²$ and puper received on the Bure, 21 525 the computation of the therma 22 24 and 1 $26\,$ $327\,$ vapour. The dry air leakage is f

$$
\frac{d}{dt}P(x,t) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{k_a(x,t)}{2\mu}\frac{d}{dx}P^2(x,t)\right)
$$
 Eq. 15

³⁵ 529 where P is the dry air pressure, μ the air dynamic viscosity, and k_a the Darcy's $\frac{37}{38}$ 530 permeability of concrete (evaluated according to saturation ratio and pressure at the location ⁴⁰ 531 under consideration in the wall). 36 and the same of the same samples of present 38 **330** permeability of concrete (evail 39

⁴³/₄₃ 532 The Darcy's apparent permeability term k_a is described with the following model 44

$$
k_a(x,t) = k_i(x)\alpha_k(x,t)
$$
\nEq. 16

533 where k_i is the intrinsic permeability of concrete depending on the saturation ratio: 48 533 where k_i is the intrinsic permea

51
$$
k_i(x) = k_{i0}(x)k_{ra}(x, t)
$$
 Eq. 17

⁵³ 534 with k_{i0} the intrinsic permeability of concrete in the dry state, k_{ra} the relative gas permeability 55 of concrete, and α_K a multiplicative factor accounting for the Klinkenberg effect, given by: 54 $56\,$ 333 of concrete, and α_K a multiplic

$$
E_{q. 18}
$$
\n
$$
E_{q. 18}
$$
\n
$$
E_{q. 18}
$$
\n
$$
E_{q. 18}
$$

62 63 64

537 where $b_K(x, t)$ is the Klinkenberg coefficient, and P_m , the mean difference between the $\frac{1}{2}$ 538 atmospheric pressure and the pressure of the test. $2\,$ 2 228 atmospheric pressure and the pre

⁴ 539 Both relative gas permeability k_{ra} and the Klinkenberg coefficient b_K are assumed to be 540 dependent on the water saturation ratio of concrete, denoted by S_w . These functions are defined $\frac{9}{2}$ 541 only by the mean experimental curves given in Figure 7. No special variability is considered $\frac{11}{12}$ 542 for these input data as it has been verified that, compared to the spatial variability of ¹⁴ 543 permeability obtained in field, the input data variability has a negligible impact on the final $\frac{16}{17}$ 544 prediction. 5 \sim 1 \sim $\overline{6}$ and $\overline{6}$ and 7 540 dependent on the water saturation 8 and 2010 10 $12\,$ 342 for these input data as it has 13 $15 \qquad \qquad \bullet$ 17 ^{344} prediction.

¹⁹ 545 The water saturation ratio, S_w is calculated through the chained thermo-hydric modelling $\frac{21}{22}$ 546 strategy presented in [52]. Concerning thermal calculations, linear Neumann boundary 547 conditions are considered on internal and external surfaces, by using in-situ measurements of 24 $\frac{26}{27}$ 548 the temperature of the ambient air of the structure. For hydric calculations, linear relative 549 humidity (RH) fluxes are imposed on both internal and external surfaces, by using measured 29 $\frac{31}{20}$ 550 histories of the ambient air RH. The measured histories of ambient temperature and RH are 551 given in Figure 16. During the first year after the start of construction of the mock-up, the RH 33 $36\overline{552}$ and the temperature of the ambient air followed seasonal conditions. Then, in order to simulate $\frac{38}{39}$ 553 an operating reactor, a heating system was started around 1.5 years after the beginning of 554 construction, in order to increase the temperature of the inner ambient air to about 35°C. The 41 $\frac{43}{44}$ 555 temperature of the ambient air outside the mock-up inner wall was controlled, to be stabilized 556 around 20°C (see Figure 16-b). Due to the increase of the inner air temperature, the RH of the 46 $^{48}_{40}$ 557 inner air decreased to about 20% (see Figure 16-a) while the RH of the ambient air outside the 558 mock-up inner wall fluctuated around a value of 50%. A few weeks before each pressurization $\frac{53}{2}$ 559 test of the mock-up, the temperature of the inner air was decreased to about 15 °C. This $55\over{56}$ 560 temperature was maintained for a few weeks after the end of the pressurization test (see Figure 561 16-b). Due to the correlation existing between temperature and RH (typically expressed by 58 20 $22 \text{ } 240$ strategy presented in [32]. 23 25 and 26 an 27×270 and temperature of the among 28 30 32 34 331 given in Figure 16. During the f 35 37 39 333 an operating reactor, a neating 40 42 $44\,$ $333\,$ comperance of the amorem and 45 47 49^{900} mmer an accreased to about 20 50 51 558 mock-up inner wall fluctuated a 52 54 $56\,$ 500 comperature was maintained to 57

562 Magnus' law), this temperature decrease induced an increase of the ambient air RH, up to a $\frac{2}{3}$ 563 value of about 80%.

 $\frac{31}{32}$ 574 Saturation profiles that were computed through a single deterministic calculation with the same ³⁴ 575 thermo-hydric model and boundary conditions (BC) are presented in Figure 17. Firstly, the water $\frac{36}{37}$ 576 saturation in the concrete volume decreases slightly over the 1st year. Then, due to the activation 577 of the heating system, the water saturation decreases faster, reaching a mean value of about $\frac{41}{42}$ 578 80% at t=2 years. Then, water saturation decreases to a mean value of about 65% at t =6 years 579 (about 75% in the core of the wall and lower saturation ratio close to the external surfaces). In $^{46}_{47}$ 580 the following, the parameter B of the drying model and the initial water content $C_{w,0}$ of concrete 581 are considered as random parameters. 32 5/4 Saturation profiles that were co $37 \frac{370}{8}$ saturation in the concrete volument 42^{376} 00/0 at t 2 years. Then, water 44 579 (about 75% in the core of the w $47\,$ $300\,$ are following, the parameter B 49 581 are considered as random parar

22 with the adopted thermo-hydric FE model. Intrados is located at 0 m Figure 17: Water saturation profiles in the thickness of VeRCoRs' standard zone, computed through a single deterministic calculation in the wall thickness.

590 Finally, the pressure boundaries considered were of the Dirichlet Type (overpressure on the $\frac{27}{20}$ 591 intrados side) and the evolution was descriptive of the periodic pressurization tests. During each 592 test, the internal air pressure was gradually increased until it reached an absolute pressure of $\frac{32}{2}$ 593 5.2 bars. Pressure histories associated with each test may be found in [47]. The structural $\frac{34}{35}$ 594 Darcy's leakage rate was computed from the pressure field obtained by solving Eq. 15 and by 37 595 integrating Darcy's flux on the 28 30 592 test, the internal air pressure w $35\,$ 394 Darcy s leakage rate was comp

Eq. 19

$^{48}_{49}$ 597 $\;$ 6.3 Probabilistic diffuse leakage calculations 49 597 6.3 Probabilistic diffuse leaka

$^{51}_{52}$ 598 6.3.1. Uncertainty propagation with Polynomial Chaos Expansions (PCE)

599 For a given RSV, the diffuse leakage rate, Q , computed with the leakage model described in $^{56}_{57}$ 600 Section 6.1 may be seen as a function of d input random variables $X: \Omega \to D_X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, which 601 represent the uncertain parameters of the leakage model. In this contribution, three parameters 54 599 For a given RSV, the diffuse I $\frac{600}{\pi}$ because of $\frac{1}{2}$ $\$ 59 601 represent the uncertain paramet

8 605 • the parameter B of the drying model adopted (see [25, 29, 35]), which drives the drying $\frac{10}{11}$ 606 speed of the concrete volume, 9 11^{000} speed of the concrete λ

- $\frac{13}{14}$ 607 the initial water content $C_{w,0}$ of concrete, $14\,$ OV/ \bullet the finital water content
- 17 608 Klinkenberg's slope k_i

17 608 • Klinkenberg's slope $k_i \cdot b_K$.

18

20 609 This choice is justified by the fact that earlier studies have identified these parameters as those $\frac{22}{23}$ 610 having the most influence on the diffuse leakage response at structural scale (see, e.g., [46]). ²⁵ 611 The characteristics of the random parameters $(B, C_{w,0}, b_K)$ and field k_0 are summarized in $\frac{27}{28}$ 612 Table 6. The parameters $(B, C_{w,0}, b_K)$ are assumed to be uniform in the structure for each $\frac{30}{21}$ 613 calculation, while the permeability, k_0 , is spatially variable, since it is modelled by a random 614 field. We recall that the Karhunen-Loève eigenmodes of the intrinsic permeability random field $\frac{35}{2}$ 615 are uncorrelated standard normal random variables. Furthermore, all the input parameters $\frac{37}{38}$ 616 considered are assumed to be mutually independent. 21 23 010 having the most immente on t 24 26 28 bl2 **lable 6.** The parameters (B, C) 29 31⁰¹⁵ calculation, while the permease $32 - 24 = 32$ 33 614 field. We recall that the Karhun 34 36 and the second continuous contin 38 010 considered are assumed to be m

617 Table 6. Characteristics of the input random parameters and the intrinsic permeability field. 41

Parameter	Notation	Unit	Distribution	Mean	Standard deviation	CoV
Drying parameter	\boldsymbol{B}	\blacksquare	Lognormal	0.08	0.016	0.2
Initial water content	$C_{W,0}$	1 m ³	Lognormal	145	29	0.2
Klinkenberg's coefficient	b_K	MPa	Lognormal	0.18	0.09	0.5
Intrinsic permeability in dry state	k_0	10^{-17} m ²	Lognormal	7.0	5.25	0.75

65

619 Then, the quantification of the uncertainties on the leakage rate Q induced by the uncertainties $\frac{2}{3}$ 620 on the inputs is typically achieved through a Monte Carlo approach, by drawing a large sample 619 Then, the quantification of the uncertainties on the leakage rate Q induced by the uncertainties
620 on the inputs is typically achieved through a Monte Carlo approach, by drawing a large sample
621 ($\sim 10^5$ 10⁶ $\frac{7}{5}$ 622 the FE model. 1 3 $\frac{4}{3}$ 621 (10⁵ 10⁶ 2 V 1 1 5021 (~ 10 10) of X and subsequence $6\overline{6}$

 $\frac{10}{11}$ 623 For cost efficiency (compared to the Monte Carlo approach), surrogate modelling allows the $\frac{12}{13}$ 624 construction of a cheap approximation of the input-output map provided by the FE model, in ¹⁵ 625 order to notably reduce the computational burden of uncertainty propagation. In this context, $\frac{17}{18}$ 626 Polynomial Chaos Expansions (PCE) [54] are widely used. The leakage response $Q(X)$ ²⁰ 627 provided by the FE model is then approximated by a PCE, which consists of a truncated series $\frac{22}{23}$ 628 expansion formed by orthonormal polynomials [54]. Further details about the PCE surrogates 629 constructed are given in Appendix A. Then, the constructed PCE surrogate models enable a 25 $\frac{27}{20}$ 630 global sensitivity analysis of the leakage response to be performed with respect to the input 631 random variables of the model. Sobol's sensitivity indices [55] may be computed as a by- $\frac{32}{22}$ 632 product of PCE, through analytical formulas involving PCE coefficients [56]. The time $\frac{34}{35}$ 633 evolution of the total Sobol in 37 634 presented in Figure 18.

38
 $\frac{40}{40}$ 635 Firstly, the drying parameter *B* contributes most to the variance of the diffuse leakage response, 11 020 1 or cost emergency (compared ₁₃ b²⁴ construction of a cheap approx 14 16 $18\,020$ Polynomial Chaos Expansions 19 21 $23\,020\,$ expansion formed by orthonom 24 26 28 0.00 grobal scribitivity analysis of a 29 30 631 random variables of the mode 31 33 35 **633** evolution of the total Sobol in 36 37 634 presented in Figure 18. 38 and \sim 38

636 since its total order Sobol index is significantly larger than the Sobol indices of the other 637 parameters (Figure 18). It is also worth noting that only the first KL eigenmode ζ_1 of the intrinsic $^{47}_{48}$ 638 permeability random field contributes significantly to the output variance. This might be 639 explained by the fact that the diffuse leakage rate is computed by integrating a Darcy flux on 50 $\frac{52}{53}$ 640 the external surface of the structure, which induces a homogenization of fluctuations of the 641 permeability random field. For this reason, the diffuse leakage response is only sensitive to 55 $\frac{57}{50}$ 642 large scale fluctuations of the permeability field. Moreover, the sum of all Sobol indices is 41 055 1150 ; 106 119 ; 106 119 ; 106 119 ; 106 42 43 636 since its total order Sobol ind 44 45.637 narameters (Figure 18) It is also 46 48 638 permeability random field com 49 51 $53\,$ 040 and external surface of the structure 54 56 58 $0 + 2$ ange searc mediamons of the

643 significantly larger than 1, notably before t=3 years, which indicates the presence of interactions

Figure 18: Time evolution of total of Sobol sensitivity indices of the diffuse leakage rate 28 Figure 18: Time evolution of total c

 $\frac{32}{33}$ 646 In order to further investigate such interactions, the most significant second order Sobol indices $35\,647$ of the diffuse leakage response are presented in Figure 19. The drying parameter, B, presents ³⁷ 648 significant interactions with the intrinsic permeability RF eigenmode ζ_1 , the initial water ⁴⁰ 649 content $C_{w,0}$ and the Klinkenberg coefficient b_K . The contribution of these interactions $\frac{42}{43}$ 650 decreases over time, which emphasizes the long-term behaviour of the sum of total Sobol ⁴⁵ 651 indices, expressing a decrease in the importance of interactions. 33 646 In order to further investigate st 38 048 significant interactions with the O₂ decreases over time, which er

21 the diffuse leakage rate.

 $\frac{22}{22}$ 662 Finally, the global sensitivity analysis conducted underlined the very strong importance of $^{24}_{25}$ 663 drying parameters for the variability of the diffuse leakage response. Thus, in spite of the ²⁷ 664 significant contribution of the permeability field to the variance of the leakage response, the $\frac{29}{30}$ 665 variability of drying parameters must not be ignored when quantifying the uncertainties of the 666 diffuse leakage response. **663** drying parameters for the vari 28 c $30\,$ 000 variability of drying parameters

$\frac{35}{2}$ 667 6.3.2. Probabilistic diffuse leakage calculations 36 and the community of the community of the set of the community of

668 The uncertainties of the input random parameters are propagated through the PCE surrogate ⁴⁰ 669 model in order to compute the time evolution of the diffuse leakage rate of the VeRCoRs mock- $\frac{42}{43}$ 670 up, together with related statistical quantities of interest such as mean and standard deviation. 671 Before presenting a comparison of the time evolution of the predicted and measured VeRCoRs $^{47}_{48}$ 672 diffuse porosity leakage rates, the global leakage measurements conducted on the VeRCoRs 673 mock-up are discussed, and presented in Figure 20. Diffuse leakage measurements are obtained $^{52}_{52}$ 674 in an indirect way: the global VeRCoRs leakage rate is assumed to be a superposition of two 675 main contributions, namely Darcy leakage through concrete porosity (i.e. diffuse leaks) and $\frac{57}{2}$ 676 leakage through cracks and possibly other types of defects (e.g. steel/concrete interfaces, $\frac{59}{60}$ 677 casting joints) [10]. The global leakage rate is directly measured with acceptable confidence, 38 bb8 Ine uncertainties of the input $43\,$ 070 $\,$ up, together with related statist $0/2$ allege polosity icaliage rates, 50 673 mock-up are discussed, and pre 53^o m an indirect way, the ground 55 6/5 main contributions, namely Da $60\,$ 6// casting joints) [10]. The global

678 whereas the contribution of cracks is (partially) measured with leakage collecting boxes, after $\frac{2}{3}$ 679 a visual inspection intended to locate such defects [10,57]. This measurement process presents $\frac{4}{5}$ 680 significant uncertainties (accuracy of the measuring device according to measured air flow, 681 quantification of the length of defects, etc.) which are transferred to the estimation of the diffuse $\frac{9}{10}$ 682 leakage of the structure, knowing that it is computed by subtracting the total leakage of cracks 12 683 from the global leakage rate. This is underlined by the fairly large error bars of the observed $\frac{14}{15}$ 684 diffuse leakage rate shown in Figure 20. These error bars represent the 68% confidence level (i.e. 685 the mean plus or minus one standard deviation, assuming a Gaussian distribution for $\frac{19}{20}$ 686 measurements). $\frac{1}{3}$ $5\,$ 080 Significant uncertainties (accura $6\overline{6}$ 8 i control de la control d
8 i control de la control 10^{302} icardge of the structure, Known 15 communication of the state of the Williams 17 685 the mean plus or minus one

45
A 6 **1688** Figure 20: Measurements of the global, local and diffuse leaks of VeRCoRs mock-up 47 during pressurization tests. Error bars correspond to 68 % CI (i.e. mean \pm standard 48 deviation), assuming Gaussian distributions for measurements 689 46 riggie 20. Measuremer

 $\frac{2}{3}$ 696 A comparison of the probabilistic predictions of the diffuse leakage rate and its measured ⁴ 697 counterpart is presented in Figure 21. 3³

708 Diffuse leakage predictions are in very good agreement with measurements, especially $\frac{34}{35}$ 709 concerning the first and the last two pressurization tests of the VeRCoRs mock-up. At the fifth $^{39}_{40}$ 711 approaches the mean observed diffuse leakage rate (18.2 Nm³.h⁻¹) with a relative error of about $^{41}_{42}$ 712 3%. The modelling of the spatial variability of permeability based on surface in situ 44 713 measurements in vacuum (investigated depth about 70 mm) and drying parameters based on $\frac{46}{47}$ 714 previous analysis [46,53] led to a reliable predictive evaluation of the diffuse leakage rate for ⁴⁹ 715 400 mm thick concrete wall. The assumption of a mean saturation degree on the investigated $^{51}_{52}$ 716 depth during measurements in the field is sufficient to obtain a realistic evaluation. Most of the 54 717 previous numerical studies performed with the leakage results obtained on the VeRCoRs mock- $^{56}_{57}$ 718 up focused on the determination of the leakage through cracks [14,15]. Compared to the study 719 with a specific focus on the porosity leakage rate for the VeRCoRs mock-up [17], the use of in $35'$ $\frac{35}{3}$ 37 710 VeRCoRs pressurization test (11 approximes are mean observed. /12 3% . The modelling of the s /14 previous analysis $[40, 35]$ led to $52⁷¹⁰$ applicating incastrollents in 57 The up received on the determination 59 719 with a specific focus on the por

720 field measurement proposed in the present work helps to obtain a more accurate prediction. $\frac{2}{3}$ 721 This work should help to accurately separate leakage from crack leakage when evaluating the $\frac{4}{5}$ 722 tightness of structures. 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ $5/22$ ugniness of structures.

 $\frac{7}{8}$ 723 In this work, the evolution of the permeability with saturation degree was evaluated on 10 724 laboratory concrete samples after 60 days in limewater. Since the cement used was a CEM I, $\frac{12}{13}$ 725 the hydration should be quite stabilized after this period [20], but in real structures the hydration ¹⁵ 726 could still have progressed after several years of atmospheric exposure. In the zones exposed $\frac{17}{18}$ 727 to dry conditions (concrete skin), the saturation level should be too low to induce additional 728 hydration for the cement. In the centre of the wall, the higher saturation conditions can lead to 20 $\frac{22}{22}$ 729 additional hydration and thus to have lower permeability in the structure than in laboratory. But 730 the permeability used in the calculations was directly measured in field and only the 27 731 dependences to saturation degree and pressure can have been impacted by the differences $\frac{29}{30}$ 732 between laboratory and field. This could have led to a small misestimation of the leakage by 733 the model. An overestimation was observed for the early age of the structure (before 3.5 years) 32 $\frac{34}{35}$ 734 and decreased with time. Combinations with other phenomena, such as the progressive drying 735 of concrete / steel interfaces, could have a greater impact than the modification of permeability 37 $^{39}_{40}$ 736 by cement hydration. $8\frac{723}{10}$ In this work, the evolution of 9 11 $13 \,$ 123 the hydration should be quite sta 14 16 18 121 to dry conditions (concrete ski 19 21 23 \sim additional hydration and thus to 24 and 24 and 25 and 25 and 26 an 25 730 the permeability used in the 26 28 **1942 1943 1944 1953 1953 1953 1953 1953 1953 1953 1953 1953** 30 /32 between laboratory and field. 31 33 $35/7$ 34 and decreased with three Come 36 38 40 150 by cement hydration.

 $^{42}_{43}$ 737 A stochastic element finite approach was used to characterize the leakage rate of the VeRCoRs 738 mock-up. The range given by the 68% credible bounds of the predicted diffuse leakage rate is 45 $^{47}_{48}$ 739 quite close to the experimental one. Predictions overestimate the measured leakage rates during 740 the second and third tests but values measured during these tests are nevertheless included in 50 $^{52}_{2}$ 741 the confidence bounds of predictions. It is also worth noting that the global measurements 742 present a sudden evolution between the third and the fourth pressurization tests. This might be 54 $\frac{57}{2}$ 743 attributable to the quite large uncertainties on measurements, which tend to increase over time $43/27$ A stochastic element milite appl 44 46 48 137 quite crose to the experimental 49 51 53['] the communice bounds of prec 55 742 present a sudden evolution bety 56

744 (due to the fact that the number of local leaks increases and that larger local leaks are measured $\frac{2}{3}$ 745 with less accurate flowmeters), and also to several physical processes related to drying. 1 $3 \hspace{2.5cm} \rightarrow$

$\frac{5}{6}$ 746 7. Conclusion 6 746 7. Conclusion

 $\frac{8}{9}$ 747 In this contribution, the air leakage through concrete is estimated using a novel testing technique 11 748 coupled to stochastic finite element (SFE) modelling. 9 \rightarrow \rightarrow 10 uns contribution, the an idadity 10

 $\frac{13}{14}$ 749 The main results of the studies are: 14 μ

- 750 For the first time, both the intrinsic per 18 751 were evaluated from in-field measurements under vacuum using a methodology $\frac{20}{21}$ 752 previously proposed from a laboratory study in [12], $16\frac{750}{16}$ - For the first time, both 17 19 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 21 /32 previously proposed from
- ²³ 753 80 vacuum measurements collected on the VeRCoRs mock-up allowed the spatial $\frac{25}{26}$ 754 variability of intrinsic permeability to be characterized, 24 26 754 variability of intensic p
- ²⁸ 755 The permeability data collected in the field were used to evaluate the diffuse leakage of $\frac{30}{31}$ 756 a real structure. In the case of the VeRCoRs mock-up, an SFE model of the air leakage 757 was built: it leads to an estimation of the mean response that is globally accurate 33 $\frac{35}{26}$ 758 (difference of less than 10% on the flow obtained on the structure) in terms of the diffuse 37
38 759 air leakage under pressure), 29 31^{750} a ical structure. In the c 32 34 36 38 759 air leakage under pressu
- 40 760 SFE modelling allows also the quantification of the uncertainties around the predicted $\frac{42}{43}$ 761 values over time. 41 43 /01 values over time.

⁴⁵ 762 In situ measurements show discrepancies in concrete permeability properties. Such variations $^{47}_{48}$ 763 are associated with the intrinsic variation of the porosity of concrete coupled with drying and 764 microcracking phenomena. For the probabilistic diffuse leakage calculations, the parameters of 50 $^{52}_{52}$ 765 both drying and permeability equations were considered as random parameters: 46 48 103 are associated with the multism 49 51 53 ros com arying and permeasing or

55 766 - The contribution of the permeability field to the variance of the leakage response was $\frac{57}{2}$ 767 significant (always greater than 25%). 56 58^o

768 - The variability of the drying parameters (even if they are assumed to be homogeneous $\frac{2}{3}$ 769 in structure) cannot be ignored in order to obtain a reliable quantification of the diffuse $\frac{4}{5}$ 770 leakage uncertainties. 1 $\overline{3}$ $\overline{2}$ $5/70$ reakage uncertainties.

$\frac{8}{6}$ 771 Acknowledgements $9 \cdot 1$

772 The authors acknowledge the financial support provided by MACENA and ENDE project funds 11 $^{13}_{14}$ 773 (ProjetIA-11-RSNR-0009 and ProjetIA-11-RSNR-0012). The opinions presented in this paper 774 reflect those of the authors only and do not necessarily represent the opinions of the funding agencies. 12 14 17 (respectively to the coop and 14 15 16 774 reflect those of the authors onl 17 19

$\frac{22}{23}$ 777 • Appendix A: Polynomial Chaos Expansions 23 777 **Appendix A: Polynomial**

²⁶ 778 The input-output map given by the diffuse leakage rate Q described in Section 6.3 is $^{28}_{29}$ 779 approximated by a Polynomial Chaos Expansions (PCE) surrogate model, which consists of a 780 truncated series expansion formed by orthonormal polynomials [54]: 31 27 **1 1 1 2** 29 112 approximated by a Forghomian 30

$$
^{781}
$$

$$
Q(X) \approx \hat{Q}(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} a_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(X) \qquad \text{Eq. 20}
$$

 $^{37}_{38}$ 782 where $A \subseteq N^d$ is a set of multi-indices, $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ the PCE coefficients, and for $\alpha = (\alpha_{1} \dots \alpha_d)$ \in ⁴⁰ 783 *A* Ψ_{α} is the tensorized multivariate Hermite polynomial defined by: 38^{102} where $A \subseteq N$ is a set of multi-39 41

784
$$
\Psi_{\alpha}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \psi_{\alpha_i}^{(i)}(X_i)
$$
 Eq. 21

⁴⁷ 785 where $\psi_{\alpha}^{(t)}$ is the univariate He

The vector $\psi_{\alpha_i}^{(l)}$ is the univariate Hermite polynomial of degree $\alpha_i \in N$.

The vector $\psi_{\alpha_i}^{(l)}$ is the univariate Hermite polynomial of degree $\alpha_i \in N$.

The vector introduced in [58], $^{52}_{52}$ 787 based on the Least Angle Regression algorithm (LARS). The goodness of fit between the PCE 788 and the FE model response is quantified by computing the so-called Leave One Out (LOO) 54 57 789 validation error (see [58,59] for further details). 50 786 In this work, the PCE coefficier 51 53 55 788 and the FE model response is 56

790 For each pressurization test and each RSV described in Section 6.1, a PCE of the diffuse leakage ² 791 response is constructed, by considering an experimental design of size $N = 500$, generated with $\frac{4}{5}$ 792 the Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) method [60]. It is worth noting that the leakage rate of 793 the gusset RSV may be treated as negligible, since its maximal value is smaller than 0.1 $\frac{9}{10}$ 794 Nm³.h⁻¹. This may be explained by the greater thickness of the gusset (0.6 m), which induces 795 a larger water saturation ratio in the thickness, a smaller pressure gradient, and consequently a $\frac{14}{15}$ 796 lower diffuse leakage rate. Conversely, the standard zone of the structure is the main contributor 797 to the total diffuse leakage rate (approx. 90%), especially due to its large surface area. $\frac{1}{3}$ $5/92$ the Latin Hypercube Sampling ($6\overline{6}$ 10^{-7} 1911.11 .11 .1115 may be explained 15 The nonet dimase realize rate: con $16 - 1$ 17 797 to the total diffuse leakage rate

798 A comparison between the structural diffuse leakage rate responses computed with the FE $^{22}_{22}$ 799 model and the corresponding PCE-based responses is given in Figure 20. The PCE surrogate 800 provides a satisfactory approximation of the FE model leakage response, as underlined by the $^{27}_{20}$ 801 good agreement observed between point-wise evaluations. Furthermore, the maximal LOO $\frac{29}{30}$ 802 validation error is about 0.03, which is an acceptable error level, compared to the threshold 803 values of about 0.05 usually considered in engineering practice [46,53]. 23⁷⁷⁷ moder and the corresponding 1 24 and 24 and 24 and 24 and 24 and 25 and 26 and 27 an 25 800 provides a satisfactory approxi- 28 and 28 an $30\,$ 802 validation error is about 0.03,

 $\frac{56}{5}$ 812 Figure 22: Cross-plot of the PCE surrogate of the structural diffuse leakage rate response.

814 References

1

 $5 - 5$

- $\frac{2}{3}$ 815 [1] J.J. Kollek, The determination of the permeability of concrete to oxygen by the Cembureau method-a 816 recommendation, Mater. Struct. 22 (1989) 225 230. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02472192. 4 $3\,$ $010\,$ [1] $3.3.$ KOIICK, THE determination
- $\frac{6}{5}$ 817 [2] R.J. Torrent, A two-chamber vacuum cell for measuring the coefficient of permeability to air of the $\frac{7}{8}$ 818 concrete cover on site, Mater. Struct. 25 (1992) 358–365. 8 818 concrete cover on site, Mater.
- 10 819 [3] P. Basheer, F. Montgomery, $\frac{11}{12}$ 820 concrete, Nondestruct. Test. Eval. 12 (1995) 53–73. $12 \, 020$ concrete, indication. I est.
- 821 [4] Z. Lafhaj, G. Richard, M. Kaczmarek, F. Skoczylas, Experimental determination of intrinsic permeability 13 ¹⁵ 822 of limestone and concrete: Comparison between in situ and laboratory results, Build. Environ. 42 (2007) 823 3042 3050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.07.039. 17 $14\,$ 821 [4] Z. Lathaj, G. Richard, M. Ka 16
- 824 [5] J. Liu, F. Agostini, F. Skoczylas, From relative gas permeability to in situ saturation measurements, Constr. 19 $\frac{20}{21}$ 825 Build. Mater. 40 (2013) 882–890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.11.092. 21 $02J$ Dund. Mater. 40 (2013) $002-$
- 22
23 826 [6] K. Yang, P.A.M. Basheer, Y. Bai, B.J. Magee, A.E. Long, Development of a new in situ test method to 24 827 measure the air permeability of high performance concretes, NDT E Int. 64 (2014) 30–40. 828 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2014.02.005. 26 23 820 [6] K. Yang, P.A.M. Basheer, Y 25^o
- 28 829 [7] D. Zhang, K. Li, Concrete gas permeability from different methods: Correlation analysis, Cem. Concr. 29
30 Compos. 104 (2019) 103379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2019.103379. $30\,$ $80\,$ Compos. 104 (2019) 1033/9.
- 32 831 [8] R.J. Torrent, R.D. Neves, K.-I. Imamoto, Concrete permeability and durability performance from theory ³³ 832 to field applications, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, 2022. $31₀$ 34
- 833 [9] E. Oukhemanou, S. Desforges, E. Buchoud, S. Michel-Ponnelle, A. Courtois, VeRCoRs Mock-Up: 35 834 Comprehensive Monitoring System for Reduced Scale Containment Model, in: Technol. Innov. Nucl. Civ. 37 $\frac{38}{39}$ 835 Eng. TINCE, Paris (France), 2016. $36\,$ $35\,$ $[2]$ E. Oukhemanou, S. Desions $39\,$ $033\,$ Eng. THNCE, Paris (France),
- 836 [10] J.-M. Henault, P. Laviron, S. Desforges, D. Vautrin, A. Courtois, B. Martin, A. Legrix, How to characterize 40 $\frac{42}{10}$ 837 the airtightness of containment structures . Overview of monitoring techniques tested on VeRCoRs Mock 838 up, in: Technol. Innov. Nucl. Civ. Eng., Paris (France), 2018. 44 41 836 [10] J.-M. Henault, P. Laviron, S. I 43^o
- 839 [11] R. Torrent, E. Denarié, F. Jacobs, A. Leemann, T. Teruzzi, Specification and site control of the 46 $\frac{47}{48}$ 840 permeability of the cover concrete: The Swiss approach, Mater. Corros. 63 (2012) 1127–1133. 841 https://doi.org/10.1002/maco.201206710. 49 48 840 permeability of the cover 50
- $\frac{51}{2}$ 842 [12] H. Sogbossi, J. Verdier, S. Multon, New approach for the measurement of gas permeability and porosity 53 843 accessible to gas in vacuum and under pressure, Cem. Concr. Compos. 103 (2019) 59-70. 54 844 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2019.04.032. 52 $\frac{12}{12}$ $\frac{12}{11}$ $\frac{12}{11}$ $\frac{12}{11}$ $\frac{12}{11}$ $\frac{12}{11}$ 55 $\frac{6}{11}$ $\frac{10}{25}$ $\frac{10}{25}$ $\frac{10}{25}$ $\frac{10}{25}$ $\frac{10}{25}$ $\frac{10}{25}$
- 56
57 845 [13] H. Sogbossi, Etude de l'évolution de la perméabilité du béton en fonction de son endommagement : 58 846 transposition des résultats de laboratoire à la prédiction des débits de fuite sur site, PhD thesis, Université 847 de Toulouse, 2017. 60 57 σ + σ [15] H. Sogbossi, Etude de l'evo $\begin{array}{c}\n 58 \ 846 \\
 \hline\n 59 \ 847\n \end{array}$

- 848 [14] T. Thénint, V. Le Corvec, S. Ghavamian, Study of the containment history of the VeRCoRS mock-up and 849 prediction of the leakage rate under pressurization tests, in: P.G. and C.L.B. (Eds) G. Pijaudier-Cabot 1 850 (Ed.), 10th Int. Conf. Fract. Mech. Concr. Concr. Struct. Fram., 2019. 851 https://doi.org/10.21012/fc10.235607. 4 $\frac{2}{2}$ 0.50 $\sqrt{2}$ 1.1 $\$ $3\delta 50$ (Ed.), 10th Int. Conf $\frac{1}{2}$
- $\frac{6}{7}$ 852 [15] L. Charpin, J. Niepceron, M. Corbin, B. Masson, J.P. Mathieu, J. Haelewyn, F. Hamon, M. Åhs, S. 853 Aparicio, M. Asali, B. Capra, M. Azenha, D.E.M. Bouhjiti, K. Calonius, M. Chu, N. Herrman, X. Huang, $\frac{9}{10}$ 854 S. Jiménez, J. Mazars, M. Mosayan, G. Nahas, J. Stepan, T. Thenint, J.M. Torrenti, Ageing and air leakage 855 assessment of a nuclear reactor containment mock-up: VERCORS 2nd benchmark, Nucl. Eng. Des. 377 11 $\frac{12}{13}$ 856 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2021.111136. 7^{052} [15] L. Charpin, S. Ineperion, M. $10^{0.07}$... 3.3 michels, 3.3 mazars, 3.3 michels 13^{000} (2021). https://doi.org/10.101
- 14
15 857 [16] L. Charpin, J. Haelewyn, A.C. El Idrissi, J. Niepceron, B. Masson, C. Toulemonde, G. Boulant, J.P. ¹⁶ 858 Mathieu, F. Hamon, S. Michel-Ponnell, J.M. Hénault, F. Taillade, J.L. Adia, F. Escoffier, Predicting 17 Process Contains and Concrete Containment Buildings - a Digital Twin Approach, Acta Leakage of the Vercors Mock-Up and Concrete Containment Buildings - a Digital Twin Approach, Acta $\frac{19}{20}$ 860 Polytech. CTU Proc. 33 (2022) 78–84. https://doi.org/10.14311/APP.2022.33.0078. $15 \, \text{83}$ / [16] L. Charpin, J. Haelewyn, A. 18 839 Leakage of the Vercors Mocl 20^{300} $\frac{1}{20}$
- $\frac{21}{22}$ 861 [17] T. Meng, L. Jason, T. Heitz, B. Richard, Numerical methodology on prestressed reinforced concrete 23 862 containment building: Creep, aging and leakage. Application to VERCORS mock-up, Eng. Struct. 280 $\frac{24}{25}$ 863 (2023) 115625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2023.115625. 22 OUI [17] 1. Meng, L. Jason, T. Henz $25 \text{ } 000 \text{ }$ (2023) 113023. https://doi.org
- 27 864 [18] L. Jason, Relation endommag $\frac{28}{20}$ 865 Nantes, 2004. 26 and the contract of the con 29°
- $\frac{30}{31}$ 866 [19] V. Garnier, J.-M. Henault, H. Hafid, J. Verdier, J.F. Chaix, E. Al, Containment nuclear plant structures 867 evaluation by non destructive testing: strategy and results, in: TINCE 2016, 3rd Conf. Technol. Innov. 32 $\frac{33}{34}$ 868 Nucl. Civ. Eng., Paris, France, 2016. 31 000 [19] v. Garmer, J.-M. Henault, H $34\,$ $800\,$ Nucl. CIV. Eng., Paris, France
- 869 [20] V. Waller, Relations entre composition des bétons, exothermie en cours de prise et résistance en 36 $\frac{37}{20}$ 870 compression, PhD Thesis, École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées, 1999. 35 $38^{0.6}$ compression, 1 m mess, 1 m
- $\frac{39}{40}$ 871 [21] W. Ladaoui, T. Vidal, A. Sellier, X. Bourbon, Effect of a temperature change from 20 to 50 C on the basic 872 creep of HPC and HPFRC, Mater. Corros. 44 (2011) 1629 1639. https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-011- 41 873 9723-z. $40\,$ $0/1$ [21] W. Ladaoui, I. Vidal, A. Sell $42\,072\,0722$ $43 \, 013 \, 9123-z$
- 874 [22] W. Ladaoui, T. Vidal, A. Sellier, X. Bourbon, Analysis of interactions between damage and basic creep 45 $\frac{46}{17}$ 875 of HPC and HPFRC heated between 20 and 80 °c, Mater. Struct. 46 (2013) 13–23. 876 https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-012-9879-1. 48 44 47

49

60 61 62 63 64

65

- 877 [23] M. Ibro, J. Verdier, S. Geoffroy, H. Cagnon, X. Bourbon, Prediction of moisture transfer in cement-based 50 $\frac{51}{52}$ 878 materials : Use of a porous n 53 879 106310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106310. 52 **878** materials: Use of a porous n 54
- $\frac{55}{56}$ 880 [24] A. Pichelin, M. Carcassès, F. Cassagnabère, S. Multon, G. Nahas, Sustainability, transfer and containment 57 881 properties of concrete subject to delayed ettringite formation (DEF), Cem. Concr. Compos. 113 (2020) 58 882 103738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2020.103738. 56^{000} [27] 11. 1 following M. Carcasses, 1. $59\,002\,$ 103756. https://doi.org/10.10

- 883 [25] C. Antón, M.A. Climent, G. de Vera, I. Sánchez, C. Andrade, An improved procedure for obtaining and ¹ 884 maintaining well characterized partial water saturation states on concrete samples to be used for mass $\frac{2}{3}$ 885 transport tests, Mater. Struct. 46 (2012) 1389–1400. 3 883 transport tests, Mater. Struct. 4
- 886 [26] RILEM TC 116-PCD, Permeability of concrete as a criterion of its durability, final report, Mater. Struct. 5 $\frac{6}{7}$ 887 32 (1999) 163–173. 7^{007} $52^{(1777)}$ 105 175.

4

15

19

28

33

55

61 62 63

- $\frac{8}{9}$ 888 [27] M. Carcassès, A. Abbas, J.-P. Ollivier, J. Verdier, An optimised preconditioning procedure for gas 10 889 permeability measurement, Mater. Struct. 35 (2001) 22–27. β 000 [27] M. Carcasses, A. Abbas, J.-P 11
- $\frac{12}{12}$ 890 [28] M.P. Yssorche, J.P. Bigas, J 891 perméamètre à charge variable, Mater. Struct. 28 (1995) 401 405. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02473075. 14 13^{090} 1^{20} 1^{11} 1^{1500} 1^{11} 1^{150} 1^{11} 1^{160}
- 892 [29] L.J. Klinkenberg, The Permeability Of Porous Media To Liquids And Gases, in: Drill. Prod. Pract., 16 $\frac{17}{18}$ 893 American Petroleum Institute, 1941: pp. 200–213. $18\,$ $893\,$ American Petroleum Institute
- 20 894 [30] V. Čalogović, Gas permeability measurement of porous materials (concrete) by time-variable pressure $\frac{21}{22}$ 895 difference method, Cem. Concr. Res. 25 (1995) 1054–1062. 22⁰⁷⁷ unicrence memor, cent. con
- $\frac{23}{24}$ 896 [31] X. Dérobert, J. Iaquinta, G. Klysz, J.P. Balayssac, Use of capacitive and GPR techniques for the non-897 destructive evaluation of cover concrete, NDT E Int. 41 (2008) 44 52. 26

27 898 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2007.06.004. 24 890 [31] λ . Derobert, J. Iaquinta, G. 25 897 destructive evaluation of 27.898 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndte
- 899 [32] G. Villain, A. Ihamouten, X. Dérobert, Determination of concrete water content by coupling 29 $\frac{30}{21}$ 900 electromagnetic methods: Coaxial/cylindrical transition line with capacitive probes, NDT E Int. 88 (2017) 32 901 59-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2017.02.004. 31 700 Ciccuoinaghche memous. Co.
- ³⁴ 902 [33] S. Bonnet, J.P. Balayssac, Combination of the Wenner resistivimeter and Torrent permeameter methods 903 for assessing carbonation depth and saturation level of concrete, Constr. Build. Mater. 188 (2018) 1149 35 904 1165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.07.151. 37 36 903 for assessing carbonation dep 38 and $\frac{1}{2}$ becomes $\frac{1}{2}$ beco
- $\frac{39}{40}$ 905 [34] S. Multon, J. Verdier, G. Villain, H. Sogbossi, X. Derobert, H. Cagnon, J.-P. Balayssac, Non-destructive 906 measurements for the evaluation of the air permeability of concrete structures, Measurement. 196 (2022) 41 $^{42}_{43}$ 907 111204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.111204. 40^{70} 1^{34} 3. Multon, J. Vertilet, O. VIII 43 207 111204. https://goi.org/10.10
- 908 [35] A. Abbas, M. Carcasses, J.-P. Ollivier, Gas permeability of concrete in relation to its degree of saturation, 45 $\frac{46}{17}$ 909 Mater. Struct. 32 (1999) 3–8. 44 47 **FOR SHELL PROPERTY**
- $\frac{48}{49}$ 910 [36] H. Sogbossi, J. Verdier, S. Multon, Permeability and damage of partially saturated concrete exposed to 911 elevated temperature, Cem. Concr. Compos. 109 (2020) 103563. $^{51}_{52}$ 912 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2020.103563. $49\,$ $210\,$ [50] $\,$ n. sogoossi, J. verdier, S. Iv 50 911 elevated temperature, 52 712 μ μ s.//doi.org/10.1010/j.cem
- 53
54 913 [37] J.F. O'Hanlon, A user's guide to vacuum technology, 3ième édit, John Wiley & Sons, 2005. 54 913 [37] J.F. O'Hanlon, A user's guide
- 914 [38] J.G. Heid, J.J. McMahon, R.F. Nielsen, S.T. Yuster, Study of the Permeability of Rocks to Homogeneous 56 $\frac{57}{58}$ 915 Fluids, in: American Petroleum Institute, 1950. $58\text{ }713\text{ }$ ritutes, in. American retroited
- 916 [39] R. Aguilera, Incorporating capillary pressure, pore throat aperture radii, height above free-water table, and 60 $59₂₁₅$

Declaration of interests

 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

 The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: