

First quantitative constraints on chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities on grassland: Comparing measurements and modelling results

Deo-Gratias Sourabie, Didier Hebert, Lucilla Benedetti, Elsa Vitorge, Beatriz Lourino-Cabana, Valery Guillou, Denis Maro

▶ To cite this version:

Deo-Gratias Sourabie, Didier Hebert, Lucilla Benedetti, Elsa Vitorge, Beatriz Lourino-Cabana, et al.. First quantitative constraints on chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities on grassland: Comparing measurements and modelling results. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 2023, 268-269, pp.107264. 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2023.107264. irsn-04228293

HAL Id: irsn-04228293 https://irsn.hal.science/irsn-04228293v1

Submitted on 18 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 First quantitative constraints on chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities on

2 grassland: comparing measurements and modelling results

```
3
      Deo-Gratias Sourabie<sup>(1,2)</sup>, Didier Hebert<sup>1*</sup>, Lucilla Benedetti<sup>2</sup>, Elsa Vitorge<sup>3</sup>, Beatriz Lourino-Cabana<sup>4</sup>,
 4
       Valery Guillou<sup>2</sup>, Denis Maro<sup>1</sup>
 5
 6
 7
       <sup>1</sup>Institute for Radioprotection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), PSE-ENV/SRTE/LRC, Cherbourg-
 8
      Octeville, 50130, France
      deo-gratias-kily.sourabie@irsn.fr, didier.hebert@irsn.fr, denis.maro@irsn;fr
 9
10
11
12
      <sup>2</sup>Univ. Aix-Marseille, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, Coll. France, UM 34 CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, 13545,
13
      France
      benedetti@cerege.fr, guillou@cerege.fr
14
15
      <sup>3</sup>EDF – DPNT – DIPDE - DEE – Environment department, Villeurbanne, 69100, France
16
17
      elsa.vitorge@edf.fr
18
19
      <sup>4</sup>EDF R&D LNHE - National Laboratory of Hydraulics and Environment, Chatou, 78401, France
20
      beatriz-b.lourino-cabana@edf.fr
21
22
      * Corresponding author:
23
      E-mail address: didier.hebert@irsn.fr
24
      Institute for Radioprotection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN),
25
      Cherbourg-Octeville Radioecology Laboratory (LRC)
26
      Rue Max Pol Fouchet
27
      BP10 - 50130 Cherbourg-Octeville
      Tel: +33 (0)2 33 01 41 00
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
```

1-Introduction:

 The environmental transition toward reducing the use of fossil energies is leading some countries to move increasingly towards less greenhouse gas emitting energies, particularly nuclear (Bickerstaff et al., 2008; Siqueira et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). However, this nuclear activity releases radionuclides into the environment during normal operation, in case of accidents or during the decommissioning of nuclear installations such as chlorine 36 (Imanaka et al., 2015; Katata et al., 2012; Le Dizès and Gonze, 2019; Linsley et al., 2005; Onda et al., 2020; Sasa et al., 2022). Mainly used for groundwater dating, chlorine 36 (36 Cl, $T_{1/2} = 301,000$ years) is a radionuclide of cosmogenic and anthropogenic origin (Phillips, 2000). It is produced naturally in the atmosphere following the spallation of argon 36 (³⁶Ar) by cosmic rays, but also by interaction between the cosmic radiation and chlorine (Cl), calcium (Ca) and potassium (K) (Blinov et al., 2000; Stone et al., 1996; White and Broadley, 2001). In near-surface rocks and soils, it is formed by neutron activation of the chlorine 35 (35Cl) present in the area (Bastviken et al., 2013; Van den Hoof and Thiry, 2012). Chlorine 36 was massively introduced into the environment by the nuclear tests carried out during the 1950s and 60s (Green et al., 2004; White and Broadley, 2001). The chlorine 36 peak generated by these tests exceeded natural production by about three orders of magnitude (Heikkilä et al., 2009; Pivot et al., 2019). However, during the 1980s, the chlorine 36 fluxes induced by these tests dropped back to natural levels (Heikkila et al. 2009, Lazarev, 2003). Nowadays, anthropogenic chlorine 36 is produced by neutron activation of the stable chlorine present as an impurity in nuclear fuel (Calmet et al., 2001; Petrov and Pokhitonov, 2020; Sheppard et al., 1996; Van den Hoof and Thiry, 2012). It may therefore be discharged into the atmosphere in the form of gaseous waste during the decommissioning of nuclear plants or the recycling of spent nuclear fuels. In abnormal or incident situations (filters are assumed to be inefficient), chlorine 36 may also be discharged into the atmosphere in the form of aerosols (Le Dizès and Gonze, 2019; Rodríguez et al., 2006; Van den Hoof and Thiry, 2012). Once released into the atmosphere, chlorine 36 (gases and particles) can be transferred to the soil and vegetal cover by dry and wet deposition (Hurtevent et al., 2013; Scheffel et al., 1999; Sportisse, 2007).

However, owing to analytical constraints, studies on these depositions are very rare (Tosaki et al., 2012). Indeed, chlorine 36 levels in the environment are very low and accelerated mass spectrometry is the only technique able to detect and quantify these levels (Bouchez et al., 2015; Finkel et al., 1980; Nakata and Hasegawa, 2011; Poghosyan and Sturchio, 2015; Sheppard and Herod, 2012; Tosaki et al., 2012). Further, most studies focussed on wet deposition, considered as more significant (Keywood et al., 1998; Pupier et al., 2016; Schaeffer et al., 1960; Scheffel et al., 1999). There is little documentation on the dry deposition of chlorine 36 and the rare studies on this subject do not cover experimental measurements. However, owing to its relatively high mobility in the geosphere and its great bioavailability, the fate of chlorine 36 in the environment must be better studied to evaluate the environmental and human impacts (Le Dizès and Gonze, 2019; Penot and Gallou, 1977; Shaw et al., 2004; Sheppard et al., 1996; Van den Hoof and Thiry, 2012; White and Broadley, 2001). Moreover, dry deposition may account for a large part of the elimination of chemical substances under the form of trace in the troposphere (Wesely and Hicks, 2000).

The goal of this study is therefore to experimentally determine the chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities on grass, representative of grassland. Grass was chosen purposedly as it is a link in the human food chain, particularly through cow's milk (Levchuk et al., 2008). These depositions are then modelled based on meteorological and micro-meteorological data and by adapting the existing models. These models are developed for gaseous and particulate chlorine 36. The deposition velocities calculated with the model will be compared with those obtained experimentally.

2-1 Study site and sampling devices

The site chosen for this study is IRSN La Hague's Technical and Instrumental Platform (PTILH, 49°41'42.1"N, 1°52'24.2"W). It is situated 2 km north of the Orano La Hague plant (49°40'41.5"N 1°52'30.7"W) in France (**Fig.1**). This nuclear fuel reprocessing facility releases small quantities of radionuclides, including chlorine 36, into the environment in the form of liquid or gaseous discharges (GRNC, 1999). Moreover, the plant's gaseous discharges contain krypton 85, which can be used as a tracer to monitor plume dispersion and detect the periods during which the study site is located in the plume (Connan et al., 2014; Leroy et al., 2010; Maro et al., 2017; Smith, 2010).

Young grass shoots (mixture of *Lollium perenne* 40%, *Poa pratensis* 40% and Festuca *rubra rubra* 20%) were grown at Pleurtuit (France). A one square meter stainless steel tub was prepared on the study site for growing the grass. A mobile shelter equipped with a rain detector covered the plot during rainy periods. Chlorine 36 wet depositions are therefore not considered in this study. The grass was watered daily with ultrapure water (Merck Milli-Q, 18.2 MΩcm at 23°C) by a programmed watering system. At the start of each campaign, a grass blank was taken to measure the initial chlorine 36 content. The atmospheric chlorine 36 close to the grass was sampled by an atmospheric sampler AS3000 (SDEC, France). The sampler was equipped with a triethylenediamine (TC-30)-impregnated active charcoal cartridge for sampling the gaseous chlorine 36 and a teflon and glass fiber composite filter (EMFAB TX40H120-WW Pallflex) for sampling the particulate fraction. A total of 14 experimental campaigns were carried out between July 16, 2021 and March 18, 2022. These campaigns lasted 2 weeks on average, except two of them, which lasted 1 month (Table 1).

In order to model the chlorine 36 dry deposition, the meteorological and micro-meteorological parameters were measured during these campaigns. An ultrasonic anemometer (Young 81000V) at a frequency of 10 Hz and installed 4.5m above the ground measured the direction (°), air speed u (m/s) and friction velocity u* (m/s), sensible heat flux H, Monin-Obukhov length L and atmospheric stability. A weather station (Spectrum Watchdog, 2000 series) measured the temperature T_s (°C), relative humidity RH (%), dew point T_r (°C) and total radiation SR (Wat/m²). The Krypton 85 concentrations were also continuously measured on site by a beta counter (Berthold LB123).

Table 1: Summary of the experimental campaigns

Campaigns	Start time dd/mm/aaaa	End time dd/mm/aaaa	Duration <i>days</i>	Season	Air sampled m ³
C1	16/07/2021	28/07/2021	12		404
C2	28/07/2021	09/08/2021	12	C	528
C3	09/08/2021	07/09/2021	29	Summer	1257
C4	07/09/2021	21/09/2021	14		606
C5	21/09/2021	06/10/2021	15		648
C6	06/10/2021	19/10/2021	13		556
C7	19/10/2021	05/11/2021	17	Autumn	737
C8	05/11/2021	23/11/2021	18		781
C9	23/11/2021	09/12/2021	16		694
C10	09/12/2021	18/01/2022	40		1000*
C11	18/01/2022	04/02/2022	17		734
C12	04/02/2022	22/02/2022	18	Winter	782
C13	22/02/2022	08/03/2022	14		609
C14	08/03/2022	18/03/2022	10		431

^{*}The atmospheric sampler is stopped after 5 weeks

2-2 Chlorine extraction

After sampling, the grass is dried in an oven (90°C) for one week before being crushed. The chlorine is then extracted from the samples by alkaline fusion. Alkaline fusion is a method for extracting the chlorine contained in solid matrices. It involves exposing the dry sample to high temperature in the presence of sodium hydroxide. Extraction is carried out in 2 steps, with a temperature gradient of up to 450°C: chlorides with a strong affinity for basic media are firstly extracted from the sample and retained in the sodium hydroxide. The organic matter is afterwards destroyed by combustion and the sodium hydroxide is crystallized. When the grass is taken out of the oven, ultrapure water is added to the crucibles to dissolve the dry residue. This method was applied to the dried, crushed grass samples, active charcoal and aerosol filters. Before entering the oven, each sample was placed in a nickel crucible with 50 ml of sodium hydroxide 5M and spiked with a known amount of isotopically enriched stable chloride carrier (0.3g of Na³⁵Cl (³⁵Cl = 99.66%, Chemlab). The enriched stable chloride carrier added allows the simultaneous Cl and ³⁶Cl determination by isotope dilution. The sodium hydroxide was prepared from pellets (Normapur, VWR) dissolved in ultrapure water.

131132

133134

135

136137

138

139 140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

117

118119

120

121122

123124

125

126

127

128

129

130

2-3 Sample treatment and measurement

The goal of the next steps is to make the sample compatible with accelerated mass spectrometry measurement, while eliminating isobaric interferents, particularly sulfur 36 (Nakata and Hasegawa, 2011). After the dry residue has been dissolved, each sample is filtered to eliminate materials in suspension, particularly ash. The chlorine present in solution is afterwards precipitated into silver chloride by adding 1 milliliter of silver nitrate AgNO₃ 10% (analytic grade Emsure ACS, Merck) and 20 milliliters of nitric acid HNO₃ 70% (CMOS J.T.Baker, VWR). The precipitate is recovered by filtration on a polyethersulfone filter (Millipore Express Plus, 0.45µm, 47 mm, Merck) which is collected in a tube. 10 milliliters of ammonia NH₄OH 20% (Emplura, Merck) are used to dissolve the precipitate trapped on the filter and 2 milliliters of saturated barium nitrate Ba (NO₃)₂ (analytic grade ACS, Alfa Aesar) are added to the sample tube. Adding barium makes the sulfur precipitate into barium sulfate (BaSO₃). The sample is filtered after 24h, then the operation is repeated twice with 1 milliliter of barium nitrate. After the third filtration of barium sulfate, 8 milliliters of nitric acid are added to each tube to precipitate the dissolved chlorides again. The samples are then cooled, stirred and centrifuged. The acid is removed, and the precipitate is washed 3 times in ultrapure water before being oven-dried at 40°C during 72h. After drying, the silver chloride precipitates were sent for chlorine 36 measurement. This measurement was performed at the French AMS national facility ASTER at CEREGE (Finkel et al., 2013) . The ³⁶Cl /³⁵Cl and the ³⁵Cl/³⁷Cl ratios were obtained by normalization to in-house standard SM-CL-12 with an assigned 36 Cl 35 Cl value of (1.428 \pm 0.021) x 10¹² (Merchel et al., 2011), and assuming a natural ³⁵Cl/³⁷Cl ratio of 3.127. ³⁶Cl and Cl concentrations in the samples were then calculated (Appendix A). These calculations are exhaustively presented by Bouchez et al., (2015). To ensure that there was no contamination with chlorine 36 during the preparation of the samples, sodium hydroxide, active charcoal and filter blanks were prepared, following the same protocol as for the samples. NIST peach leaf standards (SRM 1547) were used to determine the general efficiency of the protocol.

157

158

2-4 Determining deposition velocities

The parameter commonly used to assess deposition is the deposition velocity (V_d) , whose product with concentration at height z (C_z) gives a mass deposition flux per unit of time (F) (Eq. (1)) (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016).

$$V_d = -\frac{F}{c_z} \tag{1}$$

- The chlorine 36 deposition velocities on grass were calculated with equation (1). The ³⁶Cl atmospheric
- concentration corresponds to the sum of the gaseous and particulate fractions, both expressed in Bq.m
- ³ of air. The deposition flux (Bq.m⁻²s⁻¹) was determined from the difference in concentration between
- the grass blank (Bq.m⁻²) and the grass sample harvested at the end of the campaign (Bq.m⁻²) divided
- by the campaign duration (s).

2-5 Parameterizing the chlorine 36 dry deposition models

2-5-1 Particulate deposition model

- The model chosen to determine the particulate chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities is the 'Damay-
- Pellerin' model. This model determines the particle dry deposition velocities on a substrate based on
- the particle diameter, air friction velocity and atmospheric stability (Eq. (2) and (3)) (Damay et al.,
- 2009; Pellerin et al., 2017).

$$\frac{v_d}{v^*} = A$$
 in a stable or neutral atmosphere (1/L > -0.02) (2)

168

$$\frac{v_d}{u^*} = A\left(1 + \left(\frac{B}{L}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right) \quad \text{in an unstable atmosphere } (1/L < -0.02)$$

- 174
- where u* is air friction velocity (m.s⁻¹), L is Monin-Obukhov length (m), A and B are two parameters
- determined experimentally for particles of diameter between 0.2 and 1.2 µm, with dry deposition on
- grassland (Connan et al., 2018; Pellerin et al., 2017).
- An exhaustive table presenting these parameters A and B for the various particle sizes is given in
- Appendix B. In this study, the limits of the atmospheric stability classes were defined on the basis of
- the roughness length z_0 of our grass area (z_0 =0.01m) and the 1/L ratio (Golder, 1972). The atmosphere
- is defined as stable if 1/L > 0.02; neutral if $1/L [-0.02 \sim 0.02]$; and unstable if 1/L < -0.02 (Golder,
- 182 1972).
- As emphasized by Le Dizès and Gonze (2019), during nuclear fuel recycling, particulate chlorine 36 is
- only discharged in case of an incident or filter inefficiency. However, outside these incident situations,
- particulate chlorine 36 may form following the deposition and/or bonding of gaseous chlorine 36
- molecules on naturally occurring aerosols in the atmosphere (Budyka, 2000). Assuming that chlorine
- 187 36 in the environment behaves similarly to natural chlorine, local aerosol sampling was carried out on
- the study site (Le Dizès and Gonze, 2019). The goal of this sampling operation was to determine the
- 189 particle size fraction to which natural chlorine is preferentially bonded in this area. It was carried out
- on a 13-stage low-pressure impactor (LPI DEKATI) for particle diameters between 0.3 and 10 μm.

191 192

2-5-2 Gas deposition model

- 193 The model chosen for parameterizing gaseous chlorine 36 is the 'Big-leaf' model, which works
- according to the electrical analogy. The choice of this model is based on its simplicity and its capacity
- to estimate deposition velocities more reliably than more sophisticated models (Zhang et al., 2002b).
- Deposition velocity is defined as the inverse of the sum of 3 resistances (Eq. (4)), namely aerodynamic
- resistance (Ra), quasi-laminar resistance (Rb) and canopy resistance (Rc) (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016).

$$V_d = \frac{1}{R_a + R_b + R_c} \tag{4}$$

These three resistances terms represent the general properties of the lower atmosphere or surface and must themselves be parameterized. The input data for parametrizing these resistances are given in **Table 2** and the main formulae will be briefly presented.

Table 2: Input data for parametrizing the resistances

Parameter	Symbol	Value
Dynamic viscosity coefficient ^a	μ	1,8.10 ⁻⁵ Kg.m ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹
Boltzmann constant ^a	K_b	$1,4.10^{-23} \text{ J.K}^{-1}$
Von Karman constant ^a	K	0,4
Cunnigham's correction b	Си	$1,2.10^3 \text{ Kg.m}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$
Molecule diameter (Cl ₂) ^c	D_p	$2.10^{-10}\mathrm{m}$
Anemometer height d	\ddot{Z}	4,5 m
Leaf area index ^d	LAI	1,5 m ² of grass.m ⁻² of ground
Mean free path of a molecule ^a	λ	6,9.10 ⁻⁸
Roughness Length d	Z_0	10^{-2} m
Aerodynamic canopy resistance reference (grassland) ^e	R_{ac0}	50 m.s ⁻¹
Mesophyll resistance f	R_m	0
Minimum stomatal resistance for water vapor ^g	R_i	120 m.s ⁻¹ (Summer) 9999 m.s ⁻¹ (Winter-Autumn) 240 m.s ⁻¹ (Spring)
Air kinematic viscosity ^a	v	$1.5.10^{-5}$ m ² .s ⁻¹

^a Seinfeld and Pandis (2016), ^b Calculated data, ^c Greenwood and Earnshaw (2012), ^d Experimental data, ^e Zhang et al., 2002a, ^f Zhang et al., 2002b, ^g Wesely, 1989

Aerodynamic resistance (Ra) is resistance to the transport of matter through the atmospheric surface layer (Eq. (5) and (6)). It is common to all gases.

$$R_{a} = \frac{1}{k u^{*}} \left[0.74 \ln \left(\frac{z}{z_{0}} \right) - \Psi_{H} \right]$$
 (Padro et al., 1991)

Where

$$\Psi_{H} = \begin{cases}
-4.7 \frac{z}{L} & \text{(stable atmosphere)} \\
2^*0.74 \ln \left[\frac{(1+y)}{2} \right], \quad y = \left(1 - 9 \frac{z}{L} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{(unstable atmosphere)}
\end{cases}$$

 u^* is air friction velocity (m.s⁻¹), k is the Von Karman constant, z is the anemometer height (m), z_0 is roughness length (m), Ψ_H is the stability correction function for heat and L is Monin-Obukhov length.

Quasi-laminar resistance (Rb) represents resistance to the thin air layer in contact with the leaf surface

elements and varies with substance diffusivity (Eq. (7)).

216

$$R_b = \frac{z}{k u^*} \left(\frac{v}{D_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
 (Padro et al., 1991)

217

218 Where

219

$$D_{i} = \frac{k_{B} T Cu}{6\pi \mu D_{p}} \quad \text{(Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016)}$$

220

221 And

222

$$Cu = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{D_p} \left(2.54 + 0.8 \exp\left(-\frac{0.55 D_p}{\lambda}\right) \right)$$
 (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016)

223

- v is air kinematic viscosity, D_i is the molecular diffusivity of the species considered in air, Kb is the
- Boltzman constant, T is temperature in degrees kelvin, Cu is the Cunnigham correction for molecules
- with a diameter under $1\mu m$, μ is the dynamic viscosity coefficient of air and D_p is the molecule
- diameter. The molecular diffusivity of molecular chlorine (Cl₂) was calculated by means of equations
- 228 (8) and (9).
- Resistances Ra and Rb are quite similar on plant surfaces for most gases and the maximum theoretical
- deposition velocity of a gas can be measured as the inverse of the sum of these 2 resistances (Eq.
- 231 (10)).

232

$$V_{d max} = \frac{1}{R_a + R_b}$$
 (Ramsay et al., 2018)

- The surface or canopy resistance (Rc) is generally the one which most influences deposition velocity.
- 235 It can be defined as the total resistance to gas transfer at the surface and substrate (Bah, 2021).
- Depositions on plant surfaces are a major process for the elimination of atmospheric species, so
- surface resistance often reflects the efficiency of this elimination (Hewitt and Jackson, 2008). It is
- defined by equation (11).

$$\frac{1}{R_c} = \frac{1 - W_{st}}{R_{st} + R_m} + \frac{1}{R_{ns}} \quad \text{(L. Zhang et al., 2003)}$$

- Where W_{st} is the stomatal blocking fraction, R_{st} is stomatal resistance (s.m⁻¹), R_{m} is mesophyll
- resistance (s.m $^{-1}$) and R_{ns} is non-stomatal resistance (s.m $^{-1}$).
- 241 Stomatal resistance denotes the resistance to the transport of chemical species through the stomata and
- therefore the exchanges with the plant's internal tissues (Hosker and Lindberg, 1982). As the opening
- and closing of the stomata are conditioned by temperature and solar radiation, stomatal resistance is
- defined by equation (12).

$$R_{st} = r_i \{ 1 + [200(SR + 0.1)^{-1}]^2 \} \{ 400[T_s(40 - T_s)]^{-1} \}$$
 (Wesely, 1989) (12)

where r_i is the minimum stomatal resistance for water vapor (s.m⁻¹), SR is total solar radiation (W.m⁻²) 247 and T_s is air temperature (°C). The r_i values were defined by Wesely (1989) for various types of plant 248

- 249 cover and for each season. The r_i values defined for grassland are shown in Table 2.
- 250 The stomatal blocking fraction W_{st} reflects the partial or total blocking of the stomata under certain
- environmental conditions (Bah, 2021). For a dry canopy, this parameter is always equal to 0. If rain or 251
- dew is present on the leaf surface, the canopy is referred to as 'wet' and a value is assigned to W_{st} 252
- according to the total solar radiation (L. Zhang et al., 2003). In this study, given that the grass is 253
- 254 protected from precipitation, the canopy is considered as wet only if T_s - $T_r \le 0$ where T_s is air
- 255 temperature and T_r is dew point temperature. Throughout the experimental program, the air
- temperature (T_s) was higher than the dew temperature (T_r); the value 0 was therefore assigned to W_{st}. 256
- Mesophyll resistance is resistance to the diffusion of a gas through the mesophyll of the plants. It 257
- 258 depends on the chemical species (Bah, 2021). The R_m value is 100 for species with limited solubility
- 259 and very low oxidizing power. For the other chemical species, it is 0 (Zhang et al., 2002b). Chlorine is
- one of the chemical species with high oxidizing power, so the value 0 was assigned to R_m in this study 260
- (Barnum and Coates, 2022). 261

262

- Non-stomatal resistance R_{ns} is the resistance to gas diffusion through the leaf surface, independently of 263
- the stomata. It takes place at the cuticle (Bah, 2021). Non-stomatal resistance is determined by 264
- 265 equation (13).

266

$$\frac{1}{R_{\rm ns}} = \frac{1}{R_{\rm ac} + R_{\rm g}} + \frac{1}{R_{\rm cut}} \quad (L. \text{ Zhang et al., 2003})$$
 (13)

267

- Where R_{ac} is the aerodynamic canopy resistance (s.m⁻¹), R_{cut} is cuticle resistance (s.m⁻¹) and R_{g} is 268
- ground resistance (s.m⁻¹). 269
- 270 Like aerodynamic resistance, aerodynamic canopy resistance Rac does not depend on the chemical
- 271 species. It is conditioned by the environmental conditions, particularly the wind friction velocity u*
- 272 and the leaf area index LAI (Bah, 2021). The leaf area index corresponds to the leaf area per ground
- area (m².m⁻²) (Sakai et al., 1997). The aerodynamic canopy resistance R_{ac} is defined by equation (14). 273

$$R_{ac} = \frac{R_{aco} \times (LAI)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{u_*^2}$$
 (Zhang et al., 2002a)

- Where R_{ac0} is the aerodynamic canopy resistance reference value (s.m⁻¹). It is 50 s.m⁻¹ for grassland 275
- 276 (Zhang et al., 2002a).
- After many experiments on the dry deposition of ozone (O₃) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂), their cuticle 277
- resistance R_{cut} and ground resistance R_g, were precisely determined for each season. With the 278
- contrasting chemical properties of O₃ and SO₂, the surface resistances of the other substances can be 279
- estimated by grading them according to solubility and oxidizing power measurements. The effective 280
- 281 constants of the Henry law (H), which combine vapor pressure, solubility and dissociation in water,
- can be used to measure aqueous solubility. According to Le Dizès and Gonze (2019), the chlorine 36 282
- emitted following the reprocessing of nuclear waste takes the form of molecular chlorine (Cl₂) or 283
- hydrochloric acid (HCl). But because of the fuel reprocessing process, gaseous chlorine discharges 284

rather take the form of Cl_2 (Bah, 2021). As Cl_2 has an oxidizing power close to that of ozone ($E^{\circ}_{Ozone} = 2V$ and $E^{\circ}_{Chlorine} = 1.36V$), and their Henry constants are of the same order of magnitude ($H^{cp}_{ozone} = 1x10^{-4} \text{ mol.m}^{-3}.\text{Pa}^{-1}$ and $H^{cp}_{chlorine} = 9x10^{-4} \text{ mol.m}^{-3}.\text{Pa}^{-1}$), the R_{cut} values of R_g chosen for the Cl_2 dry deposition model are therefore those of ozone (Sander, 2015; Wei et al., 2017) (**Table 3**).

Table 3: Rcut and Rg values estimated for Cl₂ from those for O₃ (Zhang et al., 2002b)

	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring
R_{cut} Cl ₂	1500	2000	6000	1500
R_{g} Cl ₂	400	400	700	400

3- Results and discussion

3-1 Chlorine 36 levels in the blanks and extraction efficiency

The blanks ratios calculated from the sodium hydroxide blanks are on average $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl} = 8 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-15}$ at.at⁻¹ (n=9), for active charcoal (n=4) $3.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-14}$ at.at⁻¹ and $6.6 \pm 1 \times 10^{-15}$ at.at⁻¹ for aerosol filters (n=4). The larger $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio for active charcoal is not surprising, since it originates from plants and the environmental $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio is on the order of 10^{-14} at.at⁻¹ (Calmet et al., 2001). All blanks underwent chlorine extraction by alkaline fusion, and exhibit $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ isotopic ratios similar to those commonly measured for process blanks at ASTER (Bouchez et al., 2015; Braucher et al., 2018; Finkel et al., 2013; Pupier et al., 2016). This indicates that the contamination in ^{36}Cl or Cl during the during the chemical preparation of our samples is negligible. Natural chlorine extraction and measurement in the peach leaf analytical standards (n=4) allow calculating a mean extraction efficiency of 83 \pm 2%, confirming that alkaline fusion efficiently extracts chlorides from solid matrices (**Table 4**).

Table 4: Chlorine extraction efficiency of the NIST peach leaf standard SRM 1547*

Cl (mg.g ⁻¹)	Δ Cl (mg.g ⁻¹)	Yield
0,298	0,027	83%
0,292	0,027	81%
0,326	0,012	90%
0,280	0,006	78%

*Chlorine level = $0.361 \pm 0.014 \text{ mg.g}^{-1}$

3-2 Chlorine 36 in the environment

Table 5 lists the chlorine /total chlorine (36 Cl/Cl_t) calculated isotopic ratios for each sample from the AMS measurements and provide the mean krypton activity over the period covered by the corresponding sample.

Table 5: Mean krypton activity (Bq.m⁻³) and ³⁶Cl/Cl_t isotopic ratio of the samples (10⁻¹⁴)

Campaigns	Krypton	³⁶ Cl/Cl _t (10 ⁻¹⁴)					
Campaigns	(Bq.m ⁻³)	Gaz	Particulate	Grass blank	Grass sample		
C1	0.6	39.1 ± 2.2	86.6 ± 7.0	2.4 ± 0.4	5,7± 0.9		
C2	408.9	346.8 ± 19.1	209.4 ± 10.2	2.2 ± 0.4	8.0 ± 1.1		

C3	194.0	415.7 ± 17.6	352.4 ± 14.2	2.5 ± 0.4	14.5 ± 3.8
C4	789.3	735.8 ± 41.6	317.0 ± 16.6	2.5 ± 0.4	11.2 ± 1.7
C5	345.1	993.0 ± 52.0	212.2 ± 11.2	2.6 ± 0.4	16.1 ± 2.8
C6	840.4	972.3 ± 39.2	969.4 ± 36.1	3.6 ± 0.6	28.4 ± 4.1
C7	574.3	1104.1 ± 44.3	334.4 ± 13.2	19.6 ± 3.2	40.4 ± 7.1
C8	451.1	770.3 ± 30.8	268.2 ± 10.9	4.7 ± 0.6	23.5 ± 3.3
C9	188.4	228.8 ± 9.9	104.0 ± 4.4	32.5 ± 3.4	35.4 ± 4.5
C10	1782.5	5019.3 ± 244.6	1636.6 ± 81.5	3.5 ± 0.5	76.7 ± 9.9
C11	68.7	122.3 ± 6.9	361.4 ± 19.1	3.3 ± 0.4	5.2 ± 0.8
C12	505.4	694.7 ± 37.4	161.8 ± 9.7	2.2 ± 0.4	$7,7 \pm 1.1$
C13	4155.5	1612.4 ± 84.5	449.2 ± 23.4	2.3 ± 0.4	$7,6 \pm 1.0$
C14	2080.2	1732.2 ± 87.1	1310.2 ± 60.6	2.6 ± 0.4	12.5 ± 1.9

3-2-1 Atmospheric chlorine 36

The ³⁶Cl/Cl_t isotopic ratio in the atmosphere is between 4x10⁻¹³ and 1.7x10⁻¹¹ at.at⁻¹ for gaseous fraction and between 8.7×10^{-13} and 1.6×10^{-11} at.at⁻¹ for the particulate fraction. These isotopic ratios are 1-2 orders of magnitude greater than that of the natural atmospheric ³⁶Cl/Cl_t ratio estimated to be 7x10⁻¹ 13 at.at $^{-1}$ (Phillips et al., 1988). However, it must be kept in mind that the natural 36 Cl/Cl $_{t}$ isotopic ratio is between 10⁻¹⁵ and 10⁻¹² at.at⁻¹ and varies with the geographical location and the stable chlorine content in the aera (Bastviken et al., 2013; Daillant et al., 2009; Lazarev, 2003). Since our study site is close to the sea and therefore subjected to stable chlorine marine inputs, this would yield ratio close the low range thus an ³⁶Cl/Cl_t isotopic ratios reaching 10⁻¹¹ strongly suggest chlorine 36 input from a non-natural source in our study area. These ratios are similar to those found in the soils of on a site in Japan which housed four nuclear reactors and one nuclear fuel reprocessing plant (Bastviken et al., 2013). In our study, the atmospheric chlorine 36 concentrations varied from 3 ± 0.5 to 165.3 ± 9 nBq.m³ (average = 50 ± 2.8 nBq.m³) for the gaseous fraction and 0.5 ± 0.03 to 83.2 ± 2.2 nBq.m⁻³ (average = 19 ± 0.5 nBq.m³) for the particulate fraction (Fig. 2). When the chlorine 36 contents are related to the krypton 85 (85Kr) levels, it is noticed that the campaigns with high mean krypton 85 contents exhibit the highest levels of gaseous and particulate chlorine 36. However, the correlation between krypton and chlorine 36 remains low for the gaseous fraction ($r^2 = 0.40$) and even more so for the particulate fraction ($r^2 = 0.25$). (Fig. 3)

Two reasons can explain this low correlation: firstly, the high reactivity of chlorine once released into the atmosphere, unlike krypton which is only subjected to dispersion. Secondly, because chlorine is washed out by rain when the plume is crossed by rainfall before it reaches the study site (Appendix C). The low correlation of krypton with particulate chlorine 36 is not surprising since it is not directly released via the plant stacks, unlike gaseous chlorine 36.

The partition between the gaseous and particulate fractions is an important input for understanding deposition processes, so it was determined for each of the campaigns. The $^{36}\text{Cl}_{gaseous}$ / $^{36}\text{Cl}_{particulate}$ ratio is an average of 3, which corresponds to the expectations for measuring the near field radionuclides of the source term (Sportisse, 2007). This $^{36}\text{Cl}_{gaseous}$ / $^{36}\text{Cl}_{particulate}$ ratio rises to 10 for campaign 4 and remains greater than or equal to 2 for all the campaigns, except for campaign 11 where this ratio is 0,5. Atmospheric chlorine 36 on our study site is therefore mostly present in gaseous form. This result is similar to the conclusions of Bah (2021) on the partition between the gaseous and particulate fractions of iodine 129 (^{129}I) on this site. However, chlorine 36 levels remain less than those of iodine 129, which were between 5 and 110 μ Bq.m⁻³ for the gaseous fraction and between 0.8 and 27 μ Bq.m⁻³ for the particulate fraction (Bah, 2021).

3-2-2 Chlorine 36 in grass

349

350

368

369

370

- The 36 Cl/Cl_t isotopic ratio of the grass blanks was between $2x10^{-14}$ and $3x10^{-13}$ at.at⁻¹ while that of the grass samples harvested at the end of the campaigns were between $5x10^{-14}$ and $7x10^{-13}$ at.at⁻¹. Generally, except for campaigns 7 and 9, the grass blanks had an 36 Cl/Cl_t isotopic ratio on the order of 10^{-14} at.at⁻¹ similar to that of the natural background noise for plants (Calmet et al., 2001). The chlorine 36 concentrations in the grass varied from 0.2 ± 0.04 to 4.5 ± 0.09 $\mu Bq.g^{-1}$ (mean = 1 ± 0.04 $\mu Bq.g^{-1}$) for the grass blanks and from 0.7 ± 0.09 to 10 ± 0.21 $\mu Bq.g^{-1}$ (mean = 4 ± 0.26 $\mu Bq.g^{-1}$) for the grass samples (Fig. 4).
- 358 Even if the chlorine 36 levels are higher in the grass blanks for campaigns 7 and 9, the chlorine 36 content measured in the grass at the end of the campaign always remained higher. The dry deposition 359 360 fluxes of chlorine 36 on grass can then be calculated and the deposition velocities deduced from this. This increase in chlorine 36 content during the campaign is only related to the absorption of 361 atmospheric chlorine 36, because the grass grows in aluminum tubs without contact with the study site 362 ground. Indeed, studies showed that the leaf absorption of chlorine was rapid and significant, 363 especially when the root inputs are restricted (Le Dizès and Gonze, 2019). The chlorine 36 levels 364 365 measured in the grass samples are similar to those determined in the same region in grass (5.5 µBq.g⁻ ¹). However, they remain higher than the chlorine 36 contents measured in vegetables (0.18 µBq.g⁻¹), 366 oats $(0.77 \,\mu\text{Bq.g}^{-1})$, barley $(0.57 \,\mu\text{Bq.g}^{-1})$ and wheat $(0.62 \,\mu\text{Bq.g}^{-1})$ (Calmet et al., 2001). 367

3-3 Chlorine 36 dry deposition fluxes and velocities on grass

3-3-1 Dry deposition fluxes

- Anthropogenic chlorine 36 dry deposition fluxes after nuclear tests are poorly documented. This is explained by the fact that they are generally considered negligible compared to wet deposition fluxes and complex to determine on an experimental basis. In our study, chlorine 36 dry deposition fluxes on grass were between 1x10⁻² and 4x10⁻¹ nBq.m⁻²s⁻¹ (Fig. 5).
- As the deposition flux variability between the campaigns is related to chlorine 36 capture by the grass, the factors influencing this deposition are chlorine availability in the atmosphere but also the meteorological and seasonal conditions influencing this capture (Spicer and Fox, 2021).
- 378 In the following, the experimental wet deposition fluxes taken from the literature will be considered as 379 total depositions, because very often water collectors are subjected to dry depositions outside rainy periods. The dry deposition fluxes on grass determined in our study are far greater than the 380 cosmogenic chlorine 36 global deposition flux, which is about 1.7x10⁻³ nBq.m⁻²s⁻¹ (Poluianov et al., 381 2016). Note that the natural (dry and wet) chlorine 36 deposition flux varies with the season and the 382 geographical position, particularly latitude. The highest values are observed in tropical areas with 383 average calculated fluxes around $6x10^{-3}$ nBq.m⁻²s⁻¹, whereas they average around $4.1x10^{-3}$ nBq.m⁻²s⁻¹ 384 for polar areas (Masarik and Beer, 2009; Poluianov et al., 2016). However, the chlorine 36 deposition 385 386 fluxes measured are generally higher than the simulations for cosmogenic production (Scheffel et al., 1999). In France, a study measured the chlorine 36 total deposition flux on rain samples from a site 387 located at the same latitude than the one of our study site. The chlorine 36 deposition fluxes were 388 between 3.2×10^{-3} and 2.8×10^{-2} nBq.m⁻²s⁻¹ (Pupier et al., 2016). The mean dry deposition flux 389 determined in the present study is 1.7x10⁻¹ nBq.m⁻²s⁻¹, which is higher than the wet deposition fluxes 390 usually measured by previous studies (Keywood et al., 1998; Scheffel et al., 1999). Nonetheless, they 391 392 remain lower than the deposition flux induced by the bomb, which was about 7.3x10⁻¹ nBq.m⁻²s⁻¹ 393 during the following decade (Heikkilä et al., 2009).

3-3-2 Experimental deposition velocities

395

401

402

403

404

405

406 407

408

409

410 411

412

413 414

415

416

417

418 419

420 421

422 423

424 425

426 427

428 429

430 431

432

433

434

435

436 437

Deposition velocity reflects the velocity at which an element is transferred from the atmosphere to the plant either through absorption (gases), or adsorption (gases and particles). It depends on the substrate, but also on parameters related to site meteorology and atmospheric turbulence (Hearn et al., 2013). The total deposition velocities of chlorine 36 determined in the present study are between $1x10^{-3}$ and $6x10^{-3}$ m.s⁻¹ with a mean velocity of $3x10^{-3}$ m.s⁻¹ (Fig. 6).

Nonetheless, given that the chlorine depositions are related to seasonality, it seems wiser to calculate mean values for each season. The mean deposition velocities per season are 4.6x10⁻³, 4.4x10⁻³ and 1.4x10⁻³ m.s⁻¹ for summer, fall and winter, respectively. No campaign was performed during spring, so no mean velocity can be determined for this season. In the literature, the stable chlorine deposition velocities for terrestrial ecosystems are primarily documented for hydrogen chloride. According to the work done by Harrison et al., (1989), the hydrogen chloride deposition velocities on grass are between 4.3x10⁻³ and 2x10⁻² m.s⁻¹, in line with the estimations of Spicer and Fox, (2021) which were between $3x10^{-3}$ and $3.2x10^{-2}$ m.s⁻¹. This study estimates the molecular chlorine deposition velocities at between $2x10^{-3}$ and $6.3x10^{-2}$ m.s⁻¹ on the ground and between $1.8x10^{-2}$ and $2.1x10^{-2}$ m.s⁻¹ on alfalfa (Sehmel, 1980). The deposition velocity of particulate chlorine is said to have a mean value of 3.6x10⁻³ m.s⁻¹ (Anatolaki and Tsitouridou, 2007). The chlorine 36 velocities obtained in the present study are not comparable with those taken from the literature, as the latter relate either to gaseous chlorine or to particulate chlorine. However, the velocities determined in the present study are the total of the chlorine 36 gaseous chlorine and particulate chlorine velocities, with both fractions measured in the atmosphere. From a radio-ecological standpoint, the chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities on grass remain comparable with those of the widely studied radionuclides, particularly iodine 131 (0.26 - 0.57)cm.s⁻¹), iodine 129 (0.12-0.36 cm.s⁻¹), caesium 137 (0.2-0.5 cm.s⁻¹), caesium 134 (0.21-0.48 cm.s⁻¹) and caesium 136 (0.25-0.54 cm.s⁻¹) (Bah, 2021; Masanori and Shuichi, 2014). As chlorine is an element spread widely across the surface of the globe and essential for living organisms, the chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities obtained in this way will help to provide better understanding of the mechanisms of transfer to plants. More generally, they will help to improve the characterization of the transfers of radionuclides to the environment and to man. The chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities obtained in this study will also allow better evaluation of the impact studies in the event of nuclear power plant decommissioning or accident for better integration of the dosimetric impact and reduction of the related uncertainties.

3-4 Dry deposition models

Table 6 gives the mean meteorological and micro-meteorological data recorded throughout each of the campaigns. The frequency of acquisition of these data was 30 min. These data correspond to the input data for the particulate and gaseous chlorine 36 deposition models. Accordingly, particulate and gaseous chlorine 36 deposition velocities were determined for each 30 min time plot. Based on the concentrations measured in the atmosphere, quantities of chlorine 36 deposited on grass were calculated for each 30 min time plot and for each of the fractions. Given that during precipitation periods the atmospheric concentrations are reduced by the scavenging effect, the chlorine 36 atmospheric concentrations during rain are set at 0.

Table 6: Meteorological and micro-meteorological parameters of the campaigns

		Atmo	spheric stab	oility		G 1		
Campaign	u* (m.s ⁻¹)	%stable	%neutral	%instable	Relative humidity	Solar radiations (W.m ⁻²)	Temperature (°C)	Dew point (°C)

C1 0,36 21,1% 48,0% 30,9% 87,7% 271,9 18,5 15,8 C2 0,53 15,7% 63,6% 20,7% 92,1% 238,4 15,6 13,8 C3 0,44 9,7% 82,2% 8,1% 89,9% 195,1 16,5 14,3 C4 0,34 31,4% 30,6% 38,0% 91,1% 150,2 16,5 14,6 C5 0,58 11,7% 82,2% 6,1% 87,1% 131,2 14,4 11,7 C6 0,32 29,9% 61,1% 9,0% 90,4% 105,5 13,6 11,5 C7 0,60 8,7% 90,6% 0,7% 86,4% 66,8 11,8 9,1 C8 0,41 11,5% 85,0% 3,5% 88,3% 39 10,7 8,3 C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% <									
C3 0,44 9,7% 82,2% 8,1% 89,9% 195,1 16,5 14,3 C4 0,34 31,4% 30,6% 38,0% 91,1% 150,2 16,5 14,6 C5 0,58 11,7% 82,2% 6,1% 87,1% 131,2 14,4 11,7 C6 0,32 29,9% 61,1% 9,0% 90,4% 105,5 13,6 11,5 C7 0,60 8,7% 90,6% 0,7% 86,4% 66,8 11,8 9,1 C8 0,41 11,5% 85,0% 3,5% 88,3% 39 10,7 8,3 C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2	C1	0,36	21,1%	48,0%	30,9%	87,7%	271,9	18,5	15,8
C4 0,34 31,4% 30,6% 38,0% 91,1% 150,2 16,5 14,6 C5 0,58 11,7% 82,2% 6,1% 87,1% 131,2 14,4 11,7 C6 0,32 29,9% 61,1% 9,0% 90,4% 105,5 13,6 11,5 C7 0,60 8,7% 90,6% 0,7% 86,4% 66,8 11,8 9,1 C8 0,41 11,5% 85,0% 3,5% 88,3% 39 10,7 8,3 C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C2	0,53	15,7%	63,6%	20,7%	92,1%	238,4	15,6	13,8
C5 0,58 11,7% 82,2% 6,1% 87,1% 131,2 14,4 11,7 C6 0,32 29,9% 61,1% 9,0% 90,4% 105,5 13,6 11,5 C7 0,60 8,7% 90,6% 0,7% 86,4% 66,8 11,8 9,1 C8 0,41 11,5% 85,0% 3,5% 88,3% 39 10,7 8,3 C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C3	0,44	9,7%	82,2%	8,1%	89,9%	195,1	16,5	14,3
C6 0,32 29,9% 61,1% 9,0% 90,4% 105,5 13,6 11,5 C7 0,60 8,7% 90,6% 0,7% 86,4% 66,8 11,8 9,1 C8 0,41 11,5% 85,0% 3,5% 88,3% 39 10,7 8,3 C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C4	0,34	31,4%	30,6%	38,0%	91,1%	150,2	16,5	14,6
C7 0,60 8,7% 90,6% 0,7% 86,4% 66,8 11,8 9,1 C8 0,41 11,5% 85,0% 3,5% 88,3% 39 10,7 8,3 C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C5	0,58	11,7%	82,2%	6,1%	87,1%	131,2	14,4	11,7
C8 0,41 11,5% 85,0% 3,5% 88,3% 39 10,7 8,3 C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C6	0,32	29,9%	61,1%	9,0%	90,4%	105,5	13,6	11,5
C9 0,68 25,4% 74,6% 0,0% 82,6% 27 7,5 4,2 C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C7	0,60	8,7%	90,6%	0,7%	86,4%	66,8	11,8	9,1
C10 0,56 8,0% 91,1% 0,9% 91,6% 27,2 8,2 6,4 C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C8	0,41	11,5%	85,0%	3,5%	88,3%	39	10,7	8,3
C11 0,45 11,4% 85,9% 2,7% 89,8% 40,8 6,7 4,7 C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C9	0,68	25,4%	74,6%	0,0%	82,6%	27	7,5	4,2
C12 0,73 3,5% 95,2% 1,3% 89,2% 58,1 8,2 6,0 C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C10	0,56	8,0%	91,1%	0,9%	91,6%	27,2	8,2	6,4
C13 0,57 21,0% 70,0% 9,0% 84,2% 97,2 7,3 4,2	C11	0,45	11,4%	85,9%	2,7%	89,8%	40,8	6,7	4,7
	C12	0,73	3,5%	95,2%	1,3%	89,2%	58,1	8,2	6,0
C14 0,52 17,1% 76,2% 6,7% 87,3% 116,8 9,1 6,5	C13	0,57	21,0%	70,0%	9,0%	84,2%	97,2	7,3	4,2
	C14	0,52	17,1%	76,2%	6,7%	87,3%	116,8	9,1	6,5

3-4-1 Particulate chlorine 36 dry deposition model

The stable chlorine contents measured in the atmospheric aerosol on the study site are shown in Fig. 7.

The particle size range for which chlorine is most present on the study site is therefore between 0.32 and 0.77 μ m. To define the particle size most representative of this range in the << Damay-Pellerin>> model, a geometrical particle diameter of 0.48 μ m was defined in the model. The A and B parameters determined for this particle size are respectively 1.6x10⁻³ and -11 (Damay et al., 2009; Pellerin et al., 2017). The dry deposition velocities of particulate chlorine 36 calculated from the micrometeorological data are between 5.9x10⁻⁵ and 8.82x10⁻⁴ m.s⁻¹ (mean = 1.8x10⁻⁴ m.s⁻¹) (**Fig. 8**).

The mean deposition velocity calculated by the model is similar to that defined as reference deposition velocity for the accumulation mode aerosols, i.e. $1x10^{-4}$ m.s⁻¹(Sportisse, 2007). The deposition velocities on grass calculated for this particle size are less than those calculated for depositions on corn, which were between $1.22x10^{-4}$ and $6.79x10^{-3}$ m.s⁻¹ (Damay et al., 2009). This highlights the influence of the nature and properties of the plant cover on particle deposition velocities. However, the parameters most influencing the particle deposition velocity are those relating to micro-meteorology and atmospheric turbulence. These include wind friction velocity u* and atmospheric stability, calculated from sensible heat flux H (Pellerin et al., 2017). Deposition velocity is closely related to u*, so particulate chlorine 36 depositions are greater for higher u* values. It was nonetheless shown that periods of atmospheric instability induce greater deposition velocities (Connan et al., 2018). This explains the deposition velocities calculated for campaigns 1 and 4, which are one order of magnitude above that of the other campaigns. Indeed, the atmosphere was unstable for about 30% of the time during these campaigns (Table 6). The quantity of particulate chlorine 36 deposited on grass during a campaign nonetheless remains dependent on the particulate chlorine 36 atmospheric concentration.

3-4-2 Gaseous chlorine 36 dry deposition model

The mean values of resistances Ra, Rb and Rc used to calculate the deposition velocities are represented in **Fig. 9**. The gaseous chlorine 36 deposition velocities calculated by the model are between 3.4×10^{-4} and 4.8×10^{-3} m.s⁻¹ (mean = 2.3×10^{-3} m.s⁻¹). These deposition velocities are higher in summer, reduced during the fall and lower in winter (**Fig. 8**). This is related to the opening of the stomata during the summer, which reduces the stomatal resistance Rst and therefore the surface resistance. These stomata gradually close during the fall and are totally closed in winter, hence the increase in canopy resistance (Zhang et al., 2002b). Accordingly, outside summer and spring, when the stomata are fully open, the deposition velocity on grass primarily depends on non-stomatal

resistance (Rns). Further, the highest values of the cuticle (Rcut) and ground (Rg) resistances occur in winter, which even further increases the Rns values. Given that molecular chlorine is more soluble than ozone, the chlorine specific Rcut and Rg values should be lower. As a result, the deposition velocities calculated by the model are slightly underpredicted. Indeed, a study of gas depositions on alfalfa showed that dichloride was deposited more rapidly than ozone (Hill, 1971).

Apart from the season, the parameters most influencing the gas deposition velocity are the wind friction velocity (u^*) and the leaf area index (LAI) (Zhang et al., 2002b). The work carried out by Bah et al., 2020 indeed showed the close correlation between Rc and the gas deposition velocity (V_d). This means that higher u^* values entail greater deposition velocities. However, the Rcut and Rg values used in this study were estimated based on those for ozone and these values were determined according to the season. This slightly reduces the influence of u^* on the V_d of the model because, for the Rns calculation, u^* is only a factor in the Rac calculation. Regarding LAI, the larger it becomes, the more the uptake of gas by the plant is increased. This is related to the increase in the capture area, but also to the increased number of stomata. So, for identical meteorological conditions, the gas deposition velocities on superior plants will be greater than on grass (Sehmel, 1980).

3-4-3 Comparison of model and measured depositions velocities

The total deposition velocities (V_d) calculated with the previous models are between 3.48×10^{-4} and 4.88×10^{-3} m.s⁻¹ (**Fig. 10**). Overall, the V_d values calculated by the model ($V_{d \text{ mod}}$) differ by less than one order of magnitude from the V_d values obtained experimentally ($V_{d \text{ exp}}$). Without considering the uncertainties on the $V_{d \text{ exp}}$, the largest differences were observed in campaigns 7, 8, 9 and 11, with $V_{d \text{ exp}}$ / $V_{d \text{ mod}}$ ratios of 2, 4, 3 and 5 respectively. For the other campaigns, the $V_{d \text{ mod}}$ values show a difference of less than a factor of 2 from the $V_{d \text{ exp}}$ and are within the uncertainty zone. The $V_{d \text{ mod}}$ values vary little within the same season, unlike the $V_{d \text{ exp}}$. The result is therefore a low correlation ($R^2 = 0.3$) between these two velocities. However, this correlation increases when the uncertainties of the experimental V_d values are integrated ($R^2 = 0.7$).

The high $V_{d exp}/V_{d mod}$ ratio observed in campaign 11 can be explained by variability in the particulate chlorine 36 diameter in the medium. This diameter was in fact fixed in the model following a single measurement which may not reflect the aerosol profile of the study site over the whole range of campaigns. Campaign 11 is indeed the only campaign where the particulate chlorine 36 concentration is greater than that of the gaseous fraction, making the deposition velocity of the model more sensitive to that of the particles. Unlike $V_{d \ mod}$, the $V_{d \ exp}$ of campaigns 7 to 9 are quite high with respect to the season and the state of closure of the stomata for this period. Campaigns 7 and 9 feature large uncertainties on the experimental deposition velocities arising from an already high chlorine 36 content in the grass blank. Their experimental deposition velocities could be lower and therefore closer to the model predictions. Part of the high $V_{d exp}/V_{d mod}$ ratio in campaign 8 can be explained by the micro-meteorological conditions of this campaign. Indeed, very low u* values in a stable atmosphere lead to very high canopy resistances, reducing the deposition velocities calculated by the gas model (Leiming Zhang et al., 2003). Obviously, this does not explain the size of the difference. Apart from the meteorological and micro-meteorological parameters, the factor liable to increase chlorine uptake by the plant is leaf surface wetness. Indeed, it has been proved that a wet leaf surface considerably reduced surface resistance, increasing gas deposition (Leiming Zhang et al., 2003). As it happens, under certain conditions water droplets may form on the grass surface even if the ambient temperature is greater than the dew point temperature. During the night, when relative humidity is high (>95%), the solid parts close to the ground may have a temperature lower than that of air, causing water to condense on their surfaces. It cannot be ruled out that the leaf surface was wetted at some time during campaign 8, keeping in mind that these conditions were observed just before the krypton 85 peak on the study site. The chlorine 36 uptake may therefore have been more significant at this time, explaining the higher deposition velocity. Nonetheless, it must be remembered that dry

deposition is a complex process affected by the meteorological conditions, biological factors and physical-chemical properties of the species under consideration. All these factors are sources of uncertainty, making it difficult to perfectly model the depositions (Leiming Zhang et al., 2003). Even for homogeneous atmospheric and surface conditions, a bad description of the plant cover properties or plant hydric stress may lead to large differences between the measured and observed deposition velocities (Wesely and Hicks, 2000). Despite these uncertainties, the model developed in this study provides an accurate estimate of the chlorine 36 dry deposition velocities on grass, based on atmospheric chlorine 36 concentrations and the meteorological and micro-meteorological parameters.

3-4-4 Comparison of gaseous and particulate chlorine 36 deposition fluxes

With the deposition fluxes experimentally determined in this study, it is not possible to discriminate between the input due to gaseous chlorine 36 and that resulting from particulate chlorine 36. The calculated $V_{d \text{ mod}}$ are of the same order of magnitude as the $V_{d \text{ exp}}$, so the deposition models can be used to evaluate the depositions for each fraction. It was observed that for the whole set of campaigns, the gaseous chlorine 36 depositions represent 97% on average, against 3% for particulate chlorine 36 (Table 7). This is not surprising, given that the gaseous chlorine 36 concentrations are much higher in the atmosphere and the V_d calculated by the model are one order of magnitude above those calculated for the particles. Additionally, the diffusivity of the gases is greater than that of the particles (Telly Bah et al., 2020). On this basis, the gas deposition model alone can supply a good estimate of the total chlorine 36 deposition on grass.

Table 7: Experimental dry deposition fluxes of chlorine 36 on grass compared with the deposition fluxes calculated by the model

	Experimental		Model	
Campaign	deposits of 36 Cl $(\mu Bq.m^2)$	Modelled deposits of 36 Cl ($\mu Bq.m^2$)	% Gaz	% Particulate
C1	16.5 ± 7.0	12.4	96.8%	3.2%
C2	151.9 ± 27.5	135.4	99.2%	0.8%
C3	419.6 ± 103.0	320.5	95.9%	4.1%
C4	228.8 ± 37.8	245.7	98.0%	2.0%
C5	209.8 ± 44.2	254.9	99.6%	0.4%
C6	457.9 ± 66.1	486.8	99.8%	0.2%
C7	369.3 ± 169.1	135.1	98.8%	1.2%
C8	332.8 ± 52.6	78.5	99.3%	0.7%
C9	111.5 ± 78.8	29.7	98.0%	2.0%
C10	1303.7 ± 344.7	729.9	96.4%	3.6%
C11	41.1 ± 23.6	8.0	88.3%	11.7%
C12	88.1 ± 35.0	55.8	95.8%	4.2%
C13	126.9 ± 43.2	120.9	98.1%	1.9%
C14	163.3 ± 55.6	122.0	96.3%	3.7%

4-Conclusions

In this study, we determined dry deposition velocities of chlorine 36 on grass for the first time. Through 14 experimental campaigns conducted downwind from the Orano La-Hague plant, chlorine 36 was characterized in the atmosphere and its transfers to grass quantified. The dry deposition velocities on grass were between 1×10^{-3} and 6×10^{-3} m.s⁻¹ and the highest values for the campaigns were

- recorded during the summer. Atmospheric chlorine uptake by the grass was very high, even if levels
- remained well below the regulatory thresholds. Our results showed that these deposition velocities
- 550 were dependent on the season, but also on meteorological and micro-meteorological conditions. The
- 551 chlorine 36 dry deposition model proposed in this study provides a good estimate of deposition
- 552 velocity but requires improvement to reduce the differences with the observed velocities.
- Nonetheless, the chlorine 36 dry deposition fluxes and velocities determined in this study will help to
- improve knowledge on the fate of chlorine 36 and its transfer to the environment.

556

Acknowledgements

- 557 This work was supported by the French institute for radioprotection and nuclear safety (IRSN) and
- 558 Electricité de France (EDF).

559

560

561

564

565

566

575576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

References

- Anatolaki, Ch., Tsitouridou, R., 2007. Atmospheric deposition of nitrogen, sulfur and chloride in Thessaloniki, Greece. Atmospheric Res. 85, 413–428.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2007.02.010
 - Bah, O.T., 2021. Détermination expérimentale et modélisation du dépôt par temps sec de l'iode élémentaire gazeux (These de doctorat). Aix-Marseille.
- Barnum, T.P., Coates, J.D., 2022. The biogeochemical cycling of chlorine. Geobiology 20, 634–649. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12513
- Bastviken, D., Svensson, T., Sandén, P., Kylin, H., 2013. Chlorine cycling and fates of 36Cl in terrestrial environments. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co.
- Bickerstaff, K., Lorenzoni, I., Pidgeon, N.F., Poortinga, W., Simmons, P., 2008. Reframing nuclear power in the UK energy debate: nuclear power, climate change mitigation and radioactive waste. Public Underst. Sci. 17, 145–169.

 https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662506066719
 - Blinov, A., Massonet, S., Sachsenhauser, H., Stan-Sion, C., Lazarev, V., Beer, J., Synal, H.-A., Kaba, M., Masarik, J., Nolte, E., 2000. An excess of 36Cl in modern atmospheric precipitation. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At., 8th International Conference on Accelerator Mass Spectrometry 172, 537–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(00)00336-0
 - Bouchez, C., Pupier, J., Benedetti, L., Deschamps, P., Guillou, V., Keddadouche, K., Aumaître, G., Arnold, M., Bourlès, D., 2015. Isotope Dilution-AMS technique for 36Cl and Cl determination in low chlorine content waters. Chem. Geol. 404, 62–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.03.022
- Braucher, R., Keddadouche, K., Aumaître, G., Bourlès, D.L., Arnold, M., Pivot, S., Baroni, M., Scharf,
 A., Rugel, G., Bard, E., 2018. Chlorine measurements at the 5MV French AMS national facility
 ASTER: Associated external uncertainties and comparability with the 6MV DREAMS facility.
 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At. 420, 40–45.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2018.01.025
- Budyka, A.K., 2000. Phase transformations of iodine and other volatile radionuclides in free
 atmosphere. J. Aerosol Sci., European Aerosol Conference 2000 31, 480–481.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(00)90493-2
- 592 Calmet, D., coreau, germain, goutelard, letessier, frechou, maro, 2001. Chlorine-36 Measurement in 593 the Near-field Environment of a Spent Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Plant. BAER.

- Connan, O., Pellerin, G., Maro, D., Damay, P., Hébert, D., Roupsard, P., Rozet, M., Laguionie, P., 2018.
 Dry deposition velocities of particles on grass: Field experimental data and comparison with
 models. J. Aerosol Sci. 126, 58–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2018.08.004
- Connan, O., Solier, L., Hébert, D., Maro, D., Lamotte, M., Voiseux, C., Laguionie, P., Cazimajou, O., Le
 Cavelier, S., Godinot, C., Morillon, M., Thomas, L., Percot, S., 2014. Near-field krypton-85
 measurements in stable meteorological conditions around the AREVA NC La Hague
 reprocessing plant: estimation of atmospheric transfer coefficients. J. Environ. Radioact. 137,
 142–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2014.07.012
 - Daillant, O.R., Bernollin, A., Josset, M., Fifield, K.L., 2009. Potential of lichens for monitoring iodine-129 and chlorine-36. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 281, 241–245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0110-y
- Damay, P.E., Maro, D., Coppalle, A., Lamaud, E., Connan, O., Hébert, D., Talbaut, M., Irvine, M., 2009.
 Size-resolved eddy covariance measurements of fine particle vertical fluxes. J. Aerosol Sci. 40,
 1050–1058. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2009.09.010
 - Finkel, R., Arnold, M., Aumaître, G., Benedetti, L., Bourlès, D., Keddadouche, K., Merchel, S., 2013. Improved 36Cl performance at the ASTER HVE 5MV accelerator mass spectrometer national facility. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At., Proceedings of the Twelfth International Conference on Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Wellington, New Zealand, 20-25 March 2011 294, 121–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2012.05.019
 - Finkel, R.C., Nishiizumi, K., Elmore, D., Ferraro, R.D., Gove, H.E., 1980. 36Cl in polar ice, rainwater and seawater. Geophys. Res. Lett. 7, 983–986. https://doi.org/10.1029/GL007i011p00983
 - Golder, D., 1972. Relations among stability parameters in the surface layer. Bound.-Layer Meteorol. 3, 47–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00769106
 - Green, J.R., Cecil, L.D., Synal, H.-A., Santos, J., Kreutz, K.J., Wake, C.P., 2004. A high resolution record of chlorine-36 nuclear-weapons-tests fallout from Central Asia. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At., Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Accelerator Mass Spectrometry 223–224, 854–857. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2004.04.157
- 622 Greenwood, N.N., Earnshaw, A., 2012. Chemistry of the Elements. Elsevier.

603

604

608

609

610

611

612

613 614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

623

624

625

626

638

- GRNC, 1999. GRNC, Groupe Radioécologie Nord Cotentin, Work group no.1 report: inventory of radioactive waste from nuclear installations. [WWW Document]. URL http://www.gep-nucleaire.org/norcot/gepnc/sections/travauxgep/premiere_mission5339/volume_1_publie_en/view (accessed 2.16.23).
- Harrison, R.M., Rapsomanikis, S., Turnbull, A., 1989. Land-surface exchange in a chemically-reactive
 system; surface fluxes of HNO3, HCl and NH3. Atmospheric Environ. 1967 23, 1795–1800.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(89)90062-0
- Hearn, J.D., Weber, R., Nichols, R., Henley, M.V., Fox, S., 2013. Deposition of Cl2 on soils during
 outdoor releases. J. Hazard. Mater. 252–253, 107–114.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.02.038
- Heikkilä, U., Beer, J., Feichter, J., Alfimov, V., Synal, H.-A., Schotterer, U., Eichler, A., Schwikowski, M.,
 Thompson, L., 2009. ³⁶Cl bomb peak: comparison of modeled and measured data.
 Atmospheric Chem. Phys. 9, 4145–4156. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-4145-2009
- Hewitt, C.N., Jackson, A.V., 2008. Handbook of Atmospheric Science: Principles and Applications.John Wiley & Sons.
 - Hill, A.C., 1971. Vegetation: A Sink for Atmospheric Pollutants. J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc. 21, 341–346. https://doi.org/10.1080/00022470.1971.10469535
- Hosker, R.P., Lindberg, S.E., 1982. Review: Atmospheric deposition and plant assimilation of gases
 and particles. Atmospheric Environ. 1967 16, 889–910. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(82)90175-5
- Hurtevent, P., Thiry, Y., Levchuk, S., Yoschenko, V., Henner, P., Madoz-Escande, C., Leclerc, E., Colle,
 C., Kashparov, V., 2013. Translocation of 125I, 75Se and 36Cl to Wheat edible parts following

wet foliar contamination under field conditions. J. Environ. Radioact., Special Issue: 2011 ICRER meeting 121, 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2012.04.013

- Imanaka, T., Hayashi, G., Endo, S., 2015. Comparison of the accident process, radioactivity release and ground contamination between Chernobyl and Fukushima-1. J. Radiat. Res. (Tokyo) 56, i56—i61. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rrv074
 - Katata, G., Ota, M., Terada, H., Chino, M., Nagai, H., 2012. Atmospheric discharge and dispersion of radionuclides during the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant accident. Part I: Source term estimation and local-scale atmospheric dispersion in early phase of the accident. J. Environ. Radioact. 109, 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2012.02.006
 - Keywood, M.D., Fifield, L.K., Chivas, A.R., Cresswell, R.G., 1998. Fallout of chlorine 36 to the Earth's surface in the southern hemisphere. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 103, 8281–8286. https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD03125
- Lazarev, V., 2003. The cosmogenic and anthropogenic 36Cl in the environment. Technische Universität München.
 - Le Dizès, S., Gonze, M.A., 2019. Behavior of 36Cl in agricultural soil-plant systems: A review of transfer processes and modelling approaches. J. Environ. Radioact. 196, 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2018.10.011
 - Leroy, C., Maro, D., Hébert, D., Solier, L., Rozet, M., Le Cavelier, S., Connan, O., 2010. A study of the atmospheric dispersion of a high release of krypton-85 above a complex coastal terrain, comparison with the predictions of Gaussian models (Briggs, Doury, ADMS4). J. Environ. Radioact. 101, 937–944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2010.06.011
 - Levchuk, S., Kashparov, V., Lazarev, N., Colle, C., Howard, B., Yoschenko, V., Yoschenko, L., 2008. Transfer of Cl from herbage into tissues and milk products of dairy cattle and pigs. Radiat. Environ. Biophys. 47, 111–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00411-007-0137-9
 - Linsley, G., Sjöblom, K.-L., Cabianca, T., 2005. Chapter 4 Overview of point sources of anthropogenic radionuclides in the oceans, in: Livingston, H.D. (Ed.), Radioactivity in the Environment, Marine Radioactivity. Elsevier, pp. 109–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1569-4860(05)80005-1
 - Maro, D., Vermorel, F., Rozet, M., Aulagnier, C., Hébert, D., Le Dizès, S., Voiseux, C., Solier, L., Cossonnet, C., Godinot, C., Fiévet, B., Laguionie, P., Connan, O., Cazimajou, O., Morillon, M., Lamotte, M., 2017. The VATO project: An original methodology to study the transfer of tritium as HT and HTO in grassland ecosystem. J. Environ. Radioact. 167, 235–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2016.10.016
 - Masanori, T., Shuichi, S., 2014. Estimation of dry deposition velocities of radionuclides released by the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant. Prog. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 4, 64–67. https://doi.org/10.15669/pnst.4.64
 - Masarik, J., Beer, J., 2009. An updated simulation of particle fluxes and cosmogenic nuclide production in the Earth's atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 114. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010557
- Merchel, S., Bremser, W., Alfimov, V., Arnold, M., Aumaître, G., Benedetti, L., Bourlès, D.L., Caffee,
 M., Fifield, L.K., Finkel, R.C., Freeman, S.P.H.T., Martschini, M., Matsushi, Y., Rood, D.H., Sasa,
 K., Steier, P., Takahashi, T., Tamari, M., Tims, S.G., Tosaki, Y., Wilcken, K.M., Xu, S., 2011.
 Ultra-trace analysis of 36Cl by accelerator mass spectrometry: an interlaboratory study. Anal.
 Bioanal. Chem. 400, 3125–3132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-4979-2
 - Nakata, K., Hasegawa, T., 2011. Improvement of pre-treatment method for 36Cl/Cl measurement of Cl in natural groundwater by AMS. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At. 269, 300–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2010.11.022
- Onda, Y., Taniguchi, K., Yoshimura, K., Kato, H., Takahashi, J., Wakiyama, Y., Coppin, F., Smith, H.,
 2020. Radionuclides from the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant in terrestrial systems.
 Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 1, 644–660. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0099-x
- Padro, J., den Hartog, G., Neumann, H.H., 1991. An investigation of the ADOM dry deposition module using summertime O3 measurements above a deciduous forest. Atmospheric Environ. Part Gen. Top. 25, 1689–1704. https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90027-5

Pellerin, G., Maro, D., Damay, P., Gehin, E., Connan, O., Laguionie, P., Hébert, D., Solier, L., Boulaud,
 D., Lamaud, E., Charrier, X., 2017. Aerosol particle dry deposition velocity above natural
 surfaces: Quantification according to the particles diameter. J. Aerosol Sci. 114, 107–117.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2017.09.004

- Penot, M., Gallou, J., 1977. Contribution à l'étude de la physiologie des transports à longue distance du chlore 36Cl dans la plante: Long Distance Transport of Foliar Applied 36Cl. Z. Für Pflanzenphysiol. 85, 201–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-328X(77)80246-8
 - Petrov, B.F., Pokhitonov, Yu.A., 2020. Content of Long-Lived Radionuclides of Carbon-14 and Chlorine-36 in Reactor Graphite and in the Biosphere (Is there a Problem with Carbon-14 and Chlorine-36 when It Comes to the Processing of Reactor Graphite?). Radiochemistry 62, 138–140. https://doi.org/10.1134/S106636222001018X
 - Phillips, F.M., 2000. Chlorine-36, in: Cook, P.G., Herczeg, A.L. (Eds.), Environmental Tracers in Subsurface Hydrology. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp. 299–348. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4557-6_10
 - Phillips, F.M., Mattick, J.L., Duval, T.A., Elmore, D., Kubik, P.W., 1988. Chlorine 36 and tritium from nuclear weapons fallout as tracers for long-term liquid and vapor movement in desert soils. Water Resour. Res. 24, 1877–1891. https://doi.org/10.1029/WR024i011p01877
 - Pivot, S., Baroni, M., Bard, E., Giraud, X., Team, A., 2019. A Comparison of 36Cl Nuclear Bomb Inputs Deposited in Snow From Vostok and Talos Dome, Antarctica, Using the 36Cl/Cl– ratio. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 124, 10973–10988. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD030200
 - Poghosyan, A., Sturchio, N.C., 2015. Temporal evolution of 36Cl abundances in the Great Lakes. J. Environ. Radioact. 144, 62–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2015.03.006
 - Poluianov, S.V., Kovaltsov, G.A., Mishev, A.L., Usoskin, I.G., 2016. Production of cosmogenic isotopes 7Be, 10Be, 14C, 22Na, and 36Cl in the atmosphere: Altitudinal profiles of yield functions. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 121, 8125–8136. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025034
 - Pupier, J., Benedetti, L., Bouchez, C., Bourlès, D., Leclerc, E., Thiry, Y., Guillou, V., 2016. Monthly record of the Cl and 36Cl fallout rates in a deciduous forest ecosystem in NE France in 2012 and 2013. Quat. Geochronol. 35, 26–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2016.04.002
 - Ramsay, R., Di Marco, C.F., Heal, M.R., Twigg, M.M., Cowan, N., Jones, M.R., Leeson, S.R., Bloss, W.J., Kramer, L.J., Crilley, L., Sörgel, M., Andreae, M., Nemitz, E., 2018. Surface—atmosphere exchange of inorganic water-soluble gases and associated ions in bulk aerosol above agricultural grassland pre- and postfertilisation. Atmospheric Chem. Phys. 18, 16953–16978. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16953-2018
 - Rodríguez, M., Piña, G., Lara, E., 2006. Radiochemical analysis of chlorine-36. Czechoslov. J. Phys. 56, D211–D217. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10582-006-1019-0
 - Sakai, R.K., Fitzjarrald, D.R., Moore, K.E., 1997. Detecting leaf area and surface resistance during transition seasons. Agric. For. Meteorol. 84, 273–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(96)02359-3
- Sander, R., 2015. Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent.
 Atmospheric Chem. Phys. 15, 4399–4981. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015
- Sasa, K., Ochiai, Y., Tosaki, Y., Matsunaka, T., Takahashi, T., Matsumura, M., Sueki, K., 2022. Chlorine 36 deposition at Tsukuba, Japan, after the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident.
 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At. 532, 73–77.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2022.10.003
 - Schaeffer, O.A., Thompson, S.O., Lark, N.L., 1960. Chlorine-36 radioactivity in rain. J. Geophys. Res. 1896-1977 65, 4013–4016. https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ065i012p04013
- Scheffel, C., Blinov, A., Massonet, S., Sachsenhauser, H., Stan-Sion, C., Beer, J., Synal, H.A., Kubik,
 P.W., Kaba, M., Nolte, E., 1999. 36Cl in modern atmospheric precipitation. Geophys. Res.
 Lett. 26, 1401–1404. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900249
- 746 Sehmel, G.A., 1980. Particle and gas dry deposition: A review. Atmospheric Environ. 1967 14, 983– 747 1011. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(80)90031-1

- Seinfeld, J.H., Pandis, S.N., 2016. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change, 3rd Edition. ed. Wiley–Blackwell, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Shaw, G., Wadey, P., Bell, J.N.B., 2004. Radionuclide Transport Above a Near-Surface Water Table: IV.
 Soil Migration and Crop Uptake of Chlorine-36 and Technetium-99, 1990 to 1993. J. Environ.
 Qual. 33, 2272–2280. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2004.2272
- Sheppard, S.C., Herod, M., 2012. Variation in background concentrations and specific activities of
 36Cl, 129I and U/Th-series radionuclides in surface waters. J. Environ. Radioact. 106, 27–34.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2011.10.015

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

779

780

781

785

786

787

788

789

- Sheppard, S.C., Johnson, L.H., Goodwin, B.W., Tait, J.C., Wuschke, D.M., Davison, C.C., 1996. Chlorine-36 in nuclear waste disposal—1. Assessment results for used fuel with comparison to 129I and 14C. Waste Manag. 16, 607–614. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(97)00001-9
- Siqueira, D.S., de Almeida Meystre, J., Hilário, M.Q., Rocha, D.H.D., Menon, G.J., da Silva, R.J., 2019. Current perspectives on nuclear energy as a global climate change mitigation option. Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change 24, 749–777. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-018-9829-5
- Smith, K., 2010. Application of Atmospheric Dispersion Models to Predict Mesoscale Krypton-85 Air Concentrations.
- Spicer, T., Fox, S.B., 2021. Chlorine Reactivity with Environmental Materials in Atmospheric Dispersion Models 104.
- Sportisse, B., 2007. A review of parameterizations for modelling dry deposition and scavenging of radionuclides. Atmos. Environ. 41, 2683–2698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.057
- Stone, J.O., Allan, G.L., Fifield, L.K., Cresswell, R.G., 1996. Cosmogenic chlorine-36 from calcium spallation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 60, 679–692. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00429-7
- Telly Bah, O., Hebert, D., Connan, O., Solier, L., Laguionie, P., Bourlès, D., Maro, D., 2020.
 Measurement and modelling of gaseous elemental iodine (I2) dry deposition velocity on grass in the environment. J. Environ. Radioact. 219, 106253.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2020.106253
- Tosaki, Y., Tase, N., Sasa, K., Takahashi, T., Nagashima, Y., 2012. Measurement of the 36Cl deposition
 flux in central Japan: natural background levels and seasonal variability. J. Environ. Radioact.
 106, 73–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2011.11.010
 - Van den Hoof, C., Thiry, Y., 2012. Modelling of the natural chlorine cycling in a coniferous stand: implications for chlorine-36 behaviour in a contaminated forest environment. J. Environ. Radioact. 107, 56–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2011.12.014
- Wang, S., Wang, J., Lin, S., Li, J., 2019. Public perceptions and acceptance of nuclear energy in China:
 The role of public knowledge, perceived benefit, perceived risk and public engagement.
 Energy Policy 126, 352–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.11.040
 - Wei, C.-H., Fengzhen, Z., Hu, Y., Feng, C., Wu, H., 2017. Ozonation in water treatment: The generation, basic properties of ozone and its practical application. Rev. Chem. Eng. 33. https://doi.org/10.1515/revce-2016-0008
 - Wesely, M.L., 1989. Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models. Atmospheric Environ. 1967 23, 1293–1304. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(89)90153-4
- Wesely, M.L., Hicks, B.B., 2000. A review of the current status of knowledge on dry deposition.
 Atmos. Environ. 34, 2261–2282. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00467-7
- White, P.J., Broadley, M.R., 2001. Chloride in Soils and its Uptake and Movement within the Plant: A Review. Ann. Bot. 88, 967–988. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2001.1540
- Zhang, L., Brook, J.R., Vet, R., 2003. A revised parameterization for gaseous dry deposition in air quality models. Atmospheric Chem. Phys. 3, 2067–2082. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3 2067-2003

uptake and wet canopies. Atmos. Environ. 36, 4787–4799. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00567-8 Zhang, L., Moran, M.D., Makar, P.A., Brook, J.R., Gong, S., 2002b. Modelling gaseous dry deposition in AURAMS: a unified regional air-quality modelling system. Atmos. Environ., Seventh Internatioonal Conference on Atmospheric Science and Appl ications to Air Quality (ASAAQ) 36, 537–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00447-2	803 804 805 806 807	Zhang, L., Moran, M.D., Makar, P.A., Brook, J.R., Gong, S., 2002b. Modelling gaseous dry deposition in AURAMS: a unified regional air-quality modelling system. Atmos. Environ., Seventh International Conference on Atmospheric Science and Appl ications to Air Quality (ASAAQ)
--	---------------------------------	---